

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

A.B.A.No.782/2026

(Arising out of Sikandra P.S.Case No.337/2025)

1. Geeta Devi W/o Ashok Yadav aged about 33 years,
 2. Rani Kumari W/o Sanoj Yadav aged about 21 years,
 3. Karo Devi W/o Dinesh Yadav aged about 40 years,
- All resident of village Ramsagar, P.S. Sikandra, District Jamui.

..... **Petitioners**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

24.6.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Sikandra P.S.Case No.337/2025 registered for the offence U/s.126(2), 115(2), 110, 76, 352 and 351(2) read with 3(5) of the B.N.S.pending in the court of Sri Atul Rana, J.M. 1st class, Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Makeswar Prasad Yadav, the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned P.P. for the State.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Jeevan Kumar has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Sikandra Police Station alleging therein that nine days ago, a scuffle took place between the informant and accused persons due to discharge of water over the land of the informant. It is further alleged that on 19.10.2025 the informant was taking his meal at his door, in the mean time, all the F.I.R. named accused persons came at the house of the informant. It is further alleged that the accused Dinesh Yadav caught the informant from behind, and thereafter, other accused persons started to assault the informant with lathi and stick. When the informant's elder brother Ajit Kumar came there to rescue the informant, then all the accused persons started to assault him, and due to which, his leg got injured. It is further alleged that the accused persons also assaulted his mother and sister, and due to which, his mother's lips got injured. Accused persons tried to outrage the modesty of the informant's sister, and on alarm, villagers came and pacify the matter.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and falsely implicated in this case. There is no any

specific assault against the petitioners, rather, the allegation is general and omnibus, and the injury inflicted to the informant and his family members are simple in nature. The petitioners are females having clean antecedent. Moreover, similarly situated other co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by the court of Sessions (DASJ-VII, Jamui) vide an order dated 6.12.2025 passed in ABA.No.1724/2025, and the case of these petitioners stands on similar footing.

5. The learned P.P.for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail but conceded that earlier the other co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by the court of Sessions as stated aforesaid.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that there is no specific allegation of assault against the petitioners, rather, the allegation is general and omnibus, and the injury inflicted to the informant and his family members are simple in nature. The petitioners are females having clean antecedent. Moreover, similarly situated other co-accused person having more or less similar allegation have already been granted anticipatory bail by the court of Sessions as stated aforesaid.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid facts, let the petitioners be released on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within four weeks from the date of the order on furnishing bail bonds of Rs10,000/-with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down U/s.482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.