

THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, JAMUI

A.B.A.NO.-764/2026

Jaldhar Manjhi Vs. State of Bihar

A.B.A.NO.-764/2026

Arising out of Khaira P.S Case No-11/2026

U/S- 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 117(2), 324(4), 351(2), 352, 329(4) of B.N.S

Learned counsel for the Petitioner.....Pradeep Mandal, Ld. Advocate

Learned counsel for the State.....Sri Shambhu Sharan, Ld. P.P.

**PRESENT-PRABHAT KUMAR SRIVASTAVA
DISTRICT AND ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, JAMUI
DATE OF ORDER- 14th, July 2026**

ORDER

14-07-2026

Anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of petitioner Jaldhar Manjhi S/o Late Buchu Manjhi R/o vill- Joga Jhingoi, P.S- Khaira, District- Jamui in connection with **Khaira P.S Case No-11/2026, U/S- 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 117(2), 324(4), 351(2), 352, 329(4) of B.N.S** is put up before me for order today. Copy of bail petition has duly been given to Ld. A.P.P.

The prosecution case as per information given by informant Sunita Devi is that on 10-01-2026 at about 6:00 A.M she was going to purchase something from nearby shop in the meantime due to some previous dispute Jaldhar Manjhi, Sanjay Majhi, Dharmendra Manjhi, Niraj Manjhi, Dhiraj Manjhi, Kishori Manjhi, Rajesh Manjhi, Nitu Devi and Babni Devi all armed with lathi, payna and iron rod stopped her and started abusing her. When she stopped them from abusing, Jaldhar Manjhi armed with iron rod assaulted her on her head due to which her head got fractured. Dhiraj Manjhi and Dharmendra Manjhi also assaulted her with lathi due to which her frontal and middle part of head as well as back portion got cut at three sides. After hearing informant's crying her son Suresh Manjhi came to save her but Rajesh Manjhi and Sanjay Manjhi assaulted him also with lathi due to which he got head injury and blood started oozing from it. The informant and her son somehow escaped from the place of occurrence and reached their home. The accused/petitioners also reached there and assaulted informant's husband and daughter-in-law. They assaulted informant's husband on her left hand due to which her hand got fractured. They also completely ruined informant's household items.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he is quite innocent and has committed no offence and he has falsely been implicated in this case due to mischief mongers and previous enmity. He further submitted that no bail application either anticipatory or regular on behalf of the petitioner has been filed or rejected either by the Sessions Court or by the Hon'ble High Court Patna earlier. He also submitted that notice U/s-35(3) of B.N.S has not been given to petitioner. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent. All the Sections leveled against the petitioners are bailable in nature except

THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, JAMUI

A.B.A.NO.-764/2026

Jaldhar Manjhi Vs. State of Bihar

Section- 109(1) of B.N.S.S, which is not attracted against him. Thus, it is submitted that he may be allowed bail.

On the other hand learned A.P.P. opposed the bail application and submitted that the injury report shows the head injury on the person of injured Julia Devi, Ranjit Yadav and Chandni Kumari.

Heard the submission made by both the parties. On perusal of case record it transpires that the allegation against the petitioner is that he assaulted informant and her son on their head and also assaulted informant's husband due to which his left hand got fractured. Injury No. 2 of informant's son Suresh Manjhi is shown grievous in nature. There was a previous dispute between both the parties. There is specific allegation against the petitioner that he assaulted informant from lathi on his head. The witnesses in Paras 3, 4 and other relevant Paras of the case diary have supported this fact. In Para-28, 29 and 30 of the Case diary there is injury report of the injured Sunita Devi, Suresh Manjhi and Divya Devi. The injury of Sunita Devi and Suresh Manjhi shows lacerated wound on their skull. Though the injury has been shown as simple in nature but there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner so, having considered the role played by this petitioner, the body part chosen for assault, I am not inclined to allow anticipatory bail to him. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail made by this petitioner is **Rejected** hereby.

Dictated

District & Addl. Sessions Judge-IV,
Jamui

Date of judgment/order	14 th , July 2026
Date of reserving judgment/order	Nil (same date)
Uploading date	14 th , July 2026
Uploaded by	Shraddha Sharma