

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

A.B.A.No.738/2026

(Arising out of Jamui P.S.Case No.739/2024)

1. Md. Jahid @ Md. Jahid Khan S/o Raju Khan @ Raju Miya aged about 20 years resident of village Satgama, P.S. Jamui, District Jamui.

..... **Petitioner**
Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

22.6.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Jamui P.S.Case No.739/2024 registered for the offence U/s.126(2), 115(2), 110, 303(2), and 352 read with 3(5) of the B.N.S. pending in the court of the C.J.M., Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Mantu Goswami, the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P. for the State.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Md. Irshad Alam has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Jamui Police Station alleging therein that on 17.11.2024 at about 3 P.M. when the informant along with his father-in-law, brother-in-law and sister-in-law was going to his Sasural. At that time, suddenly the accused persons named in the F.I.R. including the petitioner herein along with some other 4-5 unknown persons after forming an unlawful assembly stopped the informant and his other family members and have started to abuse them in filthy language. It has specifically been alleged that accused Md. Adnan having an iron rod in his hand with an intention to kill the informant assaulted on his head, as a result, after having sustained the injury the informant fell down on the ground. Further co-accused Md. Raja is alleged to have snatched gold chain worth Rs.80,000/- from neck of the informant. The reason behind the occurrence has been assigned to a previous dispute as regard to playing DJ in a Barati. Hence, on the basis of aforesaid information, F.I.R. was lodged and investigation was started.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. There is no any specific allegation of assault against the petitioner, rather, the allegation is general and omnibus. The petitioner has clean antecedent. Moreover, similarly situated other co-accused Md. Sonu @ Md. Amir Khan has already been granted anticipatory

bail by this court vide an order dated 19.2.2025 passed in ABA.No.2191/2024.

5. The learned P.P.for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and conceded that earlier the other co-accused having similar allegation has been granted anticipatory bail by this court.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that there is no any specific allegation of assault against the petitioner, rather the allegation is general and omnibus. The petitioner has clean antecedent, and further the co-accused having similar allegation has already been granted anticipatory bail by this court as stated aforesaid.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid facts, let the petitioner be released on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within four weeks from the date of the order on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down U/s.482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.