

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

------(B.A. No. 362/2026)-----

Arising out of Jhajha P.S. Case No. 216 Year 2026, District-Jamui

Ajhodi Yadav @ Ayodhya Yadav & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar

For Petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri Murlidhar Pandey.

For The State : Ld. Addl.P.P. Sri. Sanjeev Kumar Singh.

Date of order : 04.07.2026

1. The present regular bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioners namely **1. Ajhodi Yadav @ Ayodhya Yadav**, S/o Raghu Yadav, aged about 58 years, **2. Kaushal Yadav**, S/o Raghu Yadav, aged about 46 years and **3. Ajay Yadav, S/o Raman Yadav, aged about 39 years, all are R/o Vill- Kathbajra, P.S.-Jhajha, District-Jamui**, who are languishing in jail custody since 12.05.2026 in connection with Jhajha P.S. Case No. 216 Year 2026 registered under sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 352, 303(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

2. The prosecution case in brief is that informant Deoghar Yadav alleges that on dated 11.05.2026 at about 09:00 am, all the 22 accused persons named in the FIR brutally assaulted him. It is specifically alleged that accused Sunil Yadav assaulted on his head with rod, accused Mantu Yadav assaulted on the forehead of Lila Devi and Deoghar Yadav with Tangi, accused Ajhodi Yadav snatched gold-chain from the neck of Satish, accused Kaushal Yadav assaulted on the Sambhu Yadav with rod, accused Chhotki Yadav, Dinesh Yadav and Gena Yadav assaulted Baby Devi on her belly with Lathi-Danda. On the basis of above, FIR was registered against the accused persons.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence and falsely been implicated in this case with a view to save their skin from the counter case of these petitioners vide Jhajha P.S. Case No. 217/2026. No other bail application is pending against the petitioners in any court except the present one. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent. All the sections are bailable except section 109 (1) and 303(2) of the B.N.S. which is not applicable against the petitioners as there is no specific allegation against them of assaulting. The petitioners are in custody since 12.05.2026, therefore, it is prayed to enlarge the petitioners on regular bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

5. Heard both parties in detail and perused the record.

6. The present FIR has been registered for offence punishable under sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 352, 303(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. The statement of witnesses has been

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

-----**(B.A. No. 362/2026)**-----

Arising out of Jhajha P.S. Case No. 216 Year 2026, District-Jamui

Ajhodi Yadav @ Ayodhya Yadav & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar

recorded vide para no.12, 13, and 14 of the case diary. Injury reports of injured persons are also attached with the case diary.

7. Perusal of record reflects that though the accused petitioners are named in the FIR and there is allegation of assault against them. However, from perusal of record it further transpires that due to land dispute, there happened scuffle in between both the parties in which both the parties sustained injuries. No specific allegation of assault has been levelled against these petitioners. The allegations qua these petitioners appear to be general and omnibus in nature. Injury report of injured persons have been opined as simple in nature except injury no.3 of injured Mantu Yadav who has not been alleged to have assaulted by these petitioners. The petitioners are languishing in jail since 12.05.2026.

8. Considering aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioners coupled with period of incarceration, the regular bail petition of the petitioners namely **1. Ajhodi Yadav @ Ayodhya Yadav, 2. Kaushal Yadav and 3. Ajay Yadav, is hereby allowed.** They are directed to be released on bail by furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned trial court, subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 480(3) of the B.N.S.S.

9. O/C is directed to send back lower court record, if any, along with a copy of this order to the court concerned for needful.

Dictated

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui

Copy forwarded to the court concerned

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui