

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-VIII, JAMUI

Present: Amod Kumar, District & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII, Jamui

------(A.B.A. No. 704/2026)-----

Arising out of Sikandra P.S case No. 121/2026, Dist-Jamui

Sakindra Tanti @ Sakendra Tanti and 4 others Vs. State of Bihar

For petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri. S. L. Tanti.

For The State : Ld. Addl.P.P. Sri. Shambhu Sharan.

Dated:-29-06-2026

1. This Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of accused petitioners namely **1. Sakindra Tanti, 2. Peda Devi @ Pedwa Devi, 3. Chandani Kumar, 4. Sonu Kumar, 5. Dipak Kumar.** This bail petition is filed in connection with Sikandra P.S case No. 121/2026 lodged U/s- 118(1), 118(2), 109(1), 190, 191(1), 191(2), 191(3), 329(4), 351(2), 352 of B.N.S. Copy of bail petition has been earlier supplied to prosecution.

2. The prosecution case as per F.I.R. according to the informant, on 06.05.2026 at around 08:00 p.m. the accused persons namely (i) Sakender Tanti (ii) Pedva Devi (iii) Deepak Kumar (iv) Sonu Kumar and (v) Chandni Kumari approached the door of informant's house and started hurling abuses. Then, they forcefully entered the informant's house with intention to kill and began physically assaulting the informant and his wife. The accused struck the informant on his head with a iron crow bar (Khanti) and sticks severely splitting the informant's head. Shankar Tanti, Sonu Tanti and Deepak Kumar were beating the informant, then informant's wife and daughter rushed to save him. At that point, all the accused began assaulting the informant's wife and his daughter. When the informant's wife raised alarm, the villager rush to save. After that all the attacker fled from there. On the basis of written application of the informant, the present FIR is registered.

3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. It is also submitted that besides the instant case no criminal proceedings and no other bail applications of instant nature has earlier been filed on behalf of the petitioners mentioned here-in-above either before this court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court. There is no criminal case against the petitioner. Hence, it is submitted that they may be granted anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand, Learned Add. P.P vehemently opposed the bail and submitted to reject the same.

5. Heard both the Ld. Counsel and peruse the material on

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-VIII, JAMUI

Present: Amod Kumar, District & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII, Jamui

------(A.B.A. No. 704/2026)-----

Arising out of Sikandra P.S case No. 121/2026, Dist-Jamui

Sakindra Tanti @ Sakendra Tanti and 4 others Vs. State of Bihar

record including the F.I.R and Case diary. From perusal of record, it transpires that the restatement of the informant is mentioned in para 2 who has duly supported the prosecution case. While statement of witnesses mentioned in paras 9 and 10 who also duly supported the prosecution case. While the injury report of informant Mahendra Tanti mentioned in para 39 of the case diary which shows that the informant has sustained following injury (i) Lacerated wound right parietal region Size 2.5X”1/2X1/4, 3. X-ray of right hand and C.T. scan was also done, nature of X-ray is reported as grievous. As there is specific allegation against Sakindra Tanti, Sonu kumar and Deepak Kumar of assaulted the informant. The investigation in this case is still pending. So, considering the fact and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioners, the role played by the accused/petitioner this court is not inclined to grant extra ordinary relief of anticipatory bail to the petitioners no. **4. Sonu Kumar, 5. Deepak Kumar**. Hence, there anticipatory bail application is **rejected**. During argument the Id. Counsel for the petitioner has given a written application that petitioner no. 1 has been arrested by the police during investigation. Thus, his present anticipatory bail application become infructuous. While in respect of petitioners no. 2 and 3 there is no specific allegation against them. thus there anticipatory bail is **allowed**. It is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender of accused/petitioners within reasonable time, the aforesaid petitioner will released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below with the conditions as laid down in section 482(2) of B.N.S.S., and further, with the condition that at least one of the bailors should be close relative or co-villager of the petitioners.

O/C is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned court for information & needful.

Dictated

District & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII, Jamui