

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VI, JAMUI
Anticipatory Bail Petition 694 of year 2026
(Arising out of Jhajha P.S. Case No. 529/2025)

1. Rohit Yadav, S/o- Suresh Yadav
2. Rakesh Yadav, S/o- Suresh Yadav
3. Vikash Yadav, S/o- Suresh Yadav
4. Jahuri Devi, W/o- Suresh Yadav
5. Manita Devi, W/o- Rohit Yadav
6. Pinki Devi, W/o- Vikash Yadav
All R/o: Village- Kahan, P.S.- Jhajha, Distt.- Jamui

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... Opposite Party

For the Petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri Ashok Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the State : Ld. Addl. P.P. Sri Chigu Ravidas

Present: Manoranjan Kumar Jha,
District & Additional Sessions Judge-VI, Jamui

Dated:- 11.06.2026

1. By way of this order the Anticipatory Bail Petition u/s 482 of B.N.S.S., 2023 filed by the accused-petitioners namely **1. Rohit Yadav, 2. Rakesh Yadav, 3. Vikash Yadav, 4. Jahuri Devi, 5. Manita Devi & 6. Pinki Devi**, in connection with Jhajha P.S. Case No. 529/2025, U/s 190, 191(2), 115(2), 126(2), 351, 117(2), 109 of B.N.S., is hereby disposed of.

2. The Prosecution case as per FIR in brief is that on 04.11.2025 at about 05:00 p.m., the informant was at her house then all accused persons entered into her house and started abusing and after protest then accused persons Rakesh Yadav attacked with sword and my leg's finger cut down and blowing blood and when to come to save me my younger father-in-law Ramnath Yadav, the accused persons Suresh Yadav and Vikash Yadav to beat him and fall down on the ground. It is further alleged that when sister-in-law came then accused Rakesh Kumar attacked with sword on her head which caused her head cut down and blowing blood. It is further alleged that accused Jahri Devi and Rohini Yadav to beat Nitish Kumar and snatched the golden chain and all accused persons beat her and damage all household articles.

3. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the petitioners that earlier ABP was rejected by this Court and thereafter a Cri. Misc bearing no. 6332/2026 preferred before the Hon'ble Court, Patna and the same was disposed on 17.02.2026. It is further submitted that besides aforesaid, no other bail application has been filed either in this Ld. Court or the Hon'ble High Court Patna except the present one.

4. On the other hand, Ld. Additional Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail and submitted to reject the same.

5. Heard both the sides and perused the material available on record. It transpires that the earlier prayer of pre-arrest bail has already been rejected by this court. Further the Hon'ble Court Patna had made specific observation in criminal misc no. 6332 of 2026 vide order dated 17.02.2026 and the petitioners were directed to comply the same. Further the Ld. Counsel for the petitioners failed to bring any

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VI, JAMUI

Anticipatory Bail Petition 694 of year 2026

(Arising out of Jhajha P.S. Case No. 529/2025)

Contd....
11.06.2026

cogent material/fact before the court so as to reconsider the same.

6. Considering the above mentioned facts, this court is of the view that the instant prayer of pre-arrest bail of the petitioners is **not maintainable** and accordingly stands **disposed of**.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. O/c to send a copy of this order along with Trial Court Record to the concerned Court, at once.

Sd/-

A.S.J.-VI, Jamui

Dated:- 11.06.2026