

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

A.B.A.No.689/2026

(Arising out of Laxmipur P.S.Case No.212/2024)

1.Umesh Yadav S/o Late Bahadur Yadav aged about 36 years resident of village Karra, P.S. Laxmipur, District Jamui.

..... **Petitioner**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

23.6.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Laxmipur P.S.Case No.212/2024 registered for the offence U/s. 147, 149, 341, 323, 307, 354 and 504 of the I.P.C.pending in the court of Ms. Saumya Suman, J.M. 1st class, Jamui.

2. Heard Sri D.K.Singh, the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.for the State.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Ghanshyam Yadav has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Laxmipur Police Station alleging therein that on 20.6.2024 at about 7 P.M., when the informant was at his house, the accused persons named in the F.I.R. including the petitioner herein after forming an unlawful assembly armed variously arrived at his house and started to abuse him in filthy language. It is alleged that when the informant forbade them, then the petitioner Umesh Yadav with an intention to kill the informant assaulted on his head with 'Tangi' and due to the assault, the informant has sustained injury on his head and bleeding started and he fell down on the ground. Further, co-accused Fuleshwar Yadav also with the help of lathi has assaulted the informant. The other accused persons have also assaulted the informant by fists and slaps. Later on, upon alarm being raised when the son and daughter-in-law of the informant came to rescue, the accused persons have assaulted the son and daughter-in-law of the informant by means of Paina, lathi, danda, fists and slaps etc. The son and daughter-in-law of the informant have also sustained injury. The reason behind the occurrence has been assigned to a land dispute. On the basis of aforesaid information, F.I.R. was lodged, and investigation was started.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the

petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to land dispute between the parties, for which, there is case and counter case between the parties, and in the said occurrence, the petitioner has also injured. There is allegation against the petitioner that he assaulted on the head of the informant, but the corresponding injury is simple in nature which is mentioned in paragraph 28 of the case diary. The petitioner has clean antecedent. The other co-accused persons having more or less similar allegation have already been granted anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Court vide an order dated 6.5.2026 passed in Cr.Misc.No.29911/2026, and the case of this petitioner stands more or less on similar footing.

5. The learned P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and conceded that other co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Court as stated aforesaid.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that the petitioner has clean antecedent, and nature of injury inflicted to the informant is simple. Moreover, other co-accused persons having more or less similar allegation have already been granted anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Court as stated aforesaid.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid facts, let the petitioner be released on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within four weeks from the date of the order on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down U/s.482(2) of the B.N.S.S. **with further conditions that one of the bailors of the petitioner must be his close relative, and petitioner shall remain present before the court below on each and every date, failing to appear on two consecutive dates without any cogent reason shall result in cancellation of his bail bonds.**

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.