

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

(A.B.A. No. 663/2026)

Arising out of Sikandra P.S. Case No. 117/2026, District-Jamui

Vicky Kumar & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar

For Petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri. Shishupal Kumar Singh.

For The State : Ld. Addl. P. P. Sri. Sanjeev Kumar Singh
&

Ld. Private Counsel Sri Abhishek Kumar.

Date of order : 17.06.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioners namely (1) Vicky Kumar, S/o Umesh Yadav, aged about 20 years, (2) Rupesh Kumar, S/o Umesh Yadav, aged about 21 years, both R/o Vill- Akauni, (3) Suraj Kumar, S/o Balmiki Yadav, aged about 19 years, R/o Vill- Pachmahua, and (4) Mukesh Kumar, S/o Chhotu Kumar, aged about 20 years, R/o Vill- Jakhra, all of P.S.- Sikandra, District Jamui, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sikandra P.S. Case No. 117/2026 registered under sections 118(2), 109(1), 126(2), 119(2), 309(4), 311 and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

2. As per FIR, the informant Santosh Kumar has alleged that on 03.05.2026 while he was going from Sikandra to Mesoda on his motorcycle, in between Mahar and Akauhi near Heera Singh gate, all the accused persons stopped his motorcycle and tried to take it away; on his resistance they assaulted him and took away 10 gm golden "Sikri" (chain), and during the course of marpit assaulted him on his head by iron rod causing injury, on the basis of which the above FIR was registered against the accused persons.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence and have falsely been implicated in this case due to enmity. No other bail application on behalf of the petitioners is pending either before this court or Hon'ble High Court Patna. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that as per the injury report the nature of injury is simple in nature. Therefore, it has been prayed that the accused petitioners may kindly be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Addl. P.P. for the state has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

5. Heard both the parties in detail and perused the record.

6. The FIR has been registered for the offence punishable under sections 118(2), 109(1), 126(2), 119(2), 309(4), 311 and 3(5) of the B.N.S. The injury report of the informant has been perused, wherein Dr. Dhruv Kumar, M.O., C.H.C. Sikandra, has examined the informant on 04.05.2026 and found a lacerated wound at the left forehead of size 1" x ½" x ½" and whole body pain, and the nature of injury has been opined as simple in nature.

7. On perusal of the record, it transpires that though there is allegation of assault and snatching against the petitioners, the injury report reflects only a simple injury upon the informant and there is no repeated injury caused. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Considering the nature of the injury being simple in nature and the entire facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioners are entitled to the privilege of anticipatory bail.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, quantum of punishment, nature of allegation against the petitioners, and particularly the simple nature of injury as per the injury report, the anticipatory bail application of the petitioners namely Vicky Kumar, Rupesh Kumar, Suraj Kumar and Mukesh Kumar, is hereby allowed. Accordingly, it is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender of the above-named petitioners before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of this order, they shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below, with the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

Dictated

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui

Copy forwarded to the court concerned

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui