

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-VIII, JAMUI

Present: Amod Kumar, District & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII, Jamui

----- (A.B.A. No. 650/2026) -----

Arising out of Sono P.S case No. 63/2026, Dist-Jamui

Veena Kumari Vs. State of Bihar

For petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri. Vivek Kumar.

For The State : Ld. Addl.P.P. Sri. Shambhu Sharan.

Dated:-04-06-2026

1. This Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of accused petitioner namely **Veena Kumari**. This bail petition is filed in connection with Sono P.S case No. 63/2026 lodged U/s- 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B, of I.P.C. Act. Copy of bail petition has been earlier supplied to prosecution.

2. The prosecution case in brief is that in the light of order passed by Hon'ble High Court Patna in PIL no. CWJC no. 15459/14, the Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Patna registered Vigilance investigation no. BS08/15. To verify the education/training marksheet/certificate of employed teachers district wise. Upon reviewing the folder related to the verification of employed teacher provided by the district programme officer, Establishment(education, Jamui. It was found that she had submitted an application form in Jamui in the year 2006 for employment to the post of primary and middle school teacher of Bihar State. Thereafter her appointment was made on 30.11.2006 as untrained Panchyat teacher at Primary School Katahratand. She has not submitted her resignation during the amnesty period prescribed by Hon'ble High court. Regarding the verification of petitioner marksheet bearing was sent to Hindi Vidiyapeet Deoghar. The verification report was received by the bureau office and it was found after verification that original copy of the document provided by the petitioner has not issued by the concerned office. Thus, the verification report of Hindi Vidiyapeet, Deoghar the Sahityabhushan Marksheet of the petitioner has been reported as fake. In this manner the petitioner in collusion with unknown person forged the said Marksheet/certificate and use the forged Marksheet/certificate to secure employment by a criminal conspiracy which is cognizable offence thereafter an FIR was registered under section 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B IPC.

3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioners that he is quite innocent and has committed no offence. It is also submitted that besides the instant case no criminal proceedings and no other bail applications of instant nature has earlier been filed on behalf of the petitioner mentioned hereinabove either before this court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court. It is submitted that the petitioners are quite

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-VIII, JAMUI

Present: Amod Kumar, District & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII, Jamui

----- (A.B.A. No. 650/2026) -----

Arising out of Sono P.S case No. 63/2026, Dist-Jamui

Veena Kumari Vs. State of Bihar

innocent and they have committed no offence.

4. On the other hand, Learned Add. P.P vehemently opposed the bail and submitted to reject the same.

5. Heard both the Ld. Counsel and peruse the material on record including the F.I.R., and Case diary. On perusal of the record it transpires that an F.I.R was registered against the petitioner u/s- 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B, of I.P.C. Act., the police in para 20 of the case diary has mentioned that on the basis of their investigation the allegation appear against the petitioner is true. The investigation in this case is still going on. Thus considering the fact and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioner, the role played by the accused/petitioner, this court is not inclined to grant extra ordinary relief to the petitioner. Hence, the anticipatory bail application of accused-petitioner namely **Veena Kumari** is hereby **rejected**.

O/C is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned court for information & needful.

Dictated

District & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII, Jamui