

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, JAMUI

Ravindra Kumar	B.A.NO.- 299/2026 Vs.	State of Bihar
Sujeet Kumar	B.A No. 303/2026 Vs.	State of Bihar
Gulshan Kumar	B.A No. 304/2026 Vs.	State of Bihar
Dilip Kumar	B.A No. 322/2026 Vs.	State of Bihar

Arising out of Jamui P.S Case No. 193/2026

U/S- 140(2) of B.N.S

Learned counsel for the Petitioner.....Sri Makkeshwar Pd. Yadav, Advocate

Learned counsel for the State.....Sri Dhiraj Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

PRESENT-PRABHAT KUMAR SRIVASTAVA
DISTRICT AND ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, JAMUI
DATE OF ORDER- 09th June 2026

ORDER

09-06-2026

Four Regular bail applications having B.A No. 299/2026 and B.A No. 303/2026 dated:- 06-05-2026, B.A No. 304/2026 dated:- 07-05-2026 and B.A No. 322/2026 dated:- 13-05-2026 filed on behalf of petitioners Ravindra Kumar S/o Bacchu Pd. Yadav R/o Vill- Medhipur, P.S- Pakribarama, District- Nawada, Sujeet Kumar R/o Vill- Muraor, P.S- Sakara, District- Muzaffarpur, Gulshan Kumar R/o Vill- Jafara, P.S- Nardiganj, District-Nawada, and Dilip Kumar R/o Vill- Katari, P.S- Ranitalab Kanpa, District-Patna respectively in connection with **Jamui P.S Case No. 193/2026, U/S- 140(2) of B.N.S.** is put up before me for order today. Petitioners Ravindra Kumar, Sujeet Kumar, Gulshan Kumar and Dilip Kumar are in custody since 25-04-2026.

The prosecution case in brief as per F.I.R is that on 23-04-2026 at about 1:00 P.M informant's brother Santosh Kumar was driving to Nawada on his Creta Car having Registration No. JH-15AF1178. At about 7:30 P.M he called informant and told him that he is kidnapped and told informant to bring Rs. 5,00,000/- to Nawada. The informant was being called repeatedly from the mobile number of his brother having number 9508506324 on his mobile phone having number 6201036060 to bring the money at different places. Lastly he called to bring money at Kachna Mode. Santosh Kumar was afraid.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that they are quite innocent and have committed no offence and they have falsely been implicated in this case

with an ulterior motive. He further submitted that no bail application either anticipatory or regular on behalf of the petitioners have been filed or rejected either by the Sessions Court or by the Hon'ble High Court Patna earlier. Petitioners are not named in the F.I.R. Petitioners are house guard and in excise police.

Apart from these common submissions. Ld. counsel for the petitioners Ravindra Kumar and Sujeet Kumar submits that against petitioner Ravindra Kumar there is one case having Pakari Barama P.S Case No. 255/2022 and against the petitioner Sujeet Kumar there is one case having Sakara P.S Case No. 293/2022. Ld. counsel for the petitioner Gulshan Kumar and Dilip Kumar apart from above submission, submits further that there is no criminal antecedent against them. The petitioners were on duty on the date of occurrence and Santosh Kumar alleged victim of this case was caught in drunken condition for which Excise Case No. 71/2026 was registered against him. When informant Pintu Kumar came to know about this fact he lodged a false case against these petitioners in convenience with S.H.O Jamui. There is no role of the petitioners and their mobile were seized by the police forcibly. The petitioners are in custody since 25-04-2026. Thus, it is submitted that they may be allowed bail.

On the other hand learned A.P.P. opposed the bail application and submitted that the petitioners have committed serious offence while they were on duty so they do not deserve bail.

Heard the submission made by both the parties. On perusal of case record it transpires that one Santosh Kumar was arrested in drunken condition on 23-04-2026 at 7:00 P.M by the Excise Police Team Nawada, as transpires from statement of victim Santosh Kumar recorded in Para-12 of the Case-diary. Instead of remanding Santosh Kumar in custody these petitioners out of whom Dilip Kumar and Sujeet Kumar are A.S.I and other two are home-guard asked Santosh Kumar to call his brother on phone and bring Rs. 5,00,000/- in Chananwar. These petitioners took the arrested person at one place and other and threatened him from disclosing the fact that he has been arrested by the police team. Further fact is that lastly at 1:00 A.M the arrested person was detained in Police custody, as transpires from Para-9 of the Case-diary. Further Para-11 of the Case-diary shows that A.S.I Dilip Kumar handed over the seized vehicle having registration No. JH- 15AF1178 to informant after taking Rs. 1,50,000 from him without making any entry of seized vehicle in police record. Para-11 of the Case-diary further shows that in C.C.T.V A.S.I Santosh Kumar and B.H.G Ravindra Kumar were captured therein taking the arrested person Santosh Kumar out of police Hazat and coming back there. Video footage and pen-drive has been prepared along with certificate of Section-63(4) of B.S.A. Para- 15 of the Case-diary shows that Dilip Kumar admitted his involvement as well as the involvement of others in this case and on the basis of his statement Rs. 1,50,000 was recovered from his bedroom. From perusal of case diary it transpires that these petitioners arrested Santosh Kumar in drunken condition and instead of remanding him in police custody tried to extort money from him. The

petitioners are in custody since 25/04/2026. So, having considered the nature of allegation against these petitioners who instead of performing their duty got involved in extorting money from the arrested person and handed over the seized vehicle to informant without making any entry in police station, I am not inclined to allow bail to them. Accordingly, prayer for bail of the petitioners is **Rejected** hereby.

Dictated

**District & Addl. Sessions Judge-IV,
Jamui**

Date of judgment/order	9 th , June, 2026
Date of reserving judgment/order	Nil (same date)
Uploading date	9 th , June, 2026
Uploaded by	Shraddha Sharma