

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

A.B.A.No.645/2026

(Arising out of Complaint Case No.429-C/2019)

1. Chandan Kumar Raj @ Chandan Hela S/o Ganesh Das @ Dilip Hela aged about 32 years resident of village Maura, P.S. Gidhor, District Jamui, at present Badtala, P.S. Risda, District Howrah.

..... **Petitioner**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

19.6.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No.429-C/2019 registered for the offence U/s.498A I.P.C.and section 34 of Dowry Prohibition Act pending in the court of the S.D.J.M., Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Murlidhar Pandey, the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P. for the State.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the complainant Madhu Kumari has filed the instant case against the petitioner who happens to be husband of the complainant and his family members alleging therein that she was married with the petitioner on 27.4.2018, but soon after the marriage, she was subjected to cruelty and harassment due to non fulfillment of demand of dowry by the petitioner and his family members, and lastly she was ousted from her matrimonial home on 23.12.2028. It is also alleged that the petitioner has affairs with another lady namely Shobha Kumari.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is happens to be husband of the complainant and he has clean antecedent. It is also submitted that the complainant has married with another person namely Pankaj Kumar and she has also blessed with another son.

5. The learned P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. It is also submitted that the complaint was filed in the year 2019 and cognizance has been taken against the petitioner on 17.2.2021. Further a notice has also been issued to the complainant but she has not appeared before this court. It is also submitted that the parents of the petitioner has been granted regular bail as per direction of this court vide order dated 27.4.2022 passed in

ABA.No.420/2022.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that the petitioner is happens to be husband of the complainant against whom the process U/s.83 Cr.P.C. has been issued. Thereafter, he filed this anticipatory bail petition after five years of the order of cognizance. The notice has been issued to the complainant but she has not appeared before this court. Moreover, the parents of the petitioner namely Ganesh Das @ Dilip Hela and Surba Devi @ Rita Hela has been granted regular bail by the learned court below as per direction / observation of this court passed in ABA.No.420/2022 dated 27.4.2022.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid facts, this court feels that it is not a fit case to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. However, if the petitioner surrenders before the court below, then his regular bail will be considered on its own merit without being prejudiced by the aforesaid order keeping in mind the facts as stated aforesaid.

Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application is **disposed off**.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.