

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui

A.B.A.No.602/2026

(Arising out of Khaira P.S. Case No.129/2026)

1. Mangal Mandal S/o Samar Mandal @ Lekha Mandal aged about 66 years,
2. Shambhu Mandal S/o Mangal Mandal aged about 37 years,
3. Sagar Mandal S/o Mangal Mandal aged about 29 years,
4. Sonu Kumar S/o Mangal Mandal aged about 27 years,

All resident of village Jhundo, P.S. Khaira, District Jamui.

.....**Petitioners.**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar.....**O.P.**

(with)

A.B.A.No.729/2026

(Arising out of Khaira P.S. Case No.129/2026)

1. Prakash Kumar S/o Shivshankar Mandal aged about 30 years,
2. Bablu Kumar @ Dablu Mandal S/o Shivshankar Mandal aged about 28 years,
3. Vikash Kumar S/o Prakash Mandal @ Padku Mandal aged about 25 years,
4. Chhotu Kumar S/o Prakash Mandal @ Padku Mandal aged about 19 years,
5. Dilip Kumar Mandal @ Chunna Mandal S/o Shivshankar Mandal aged about 32 years, all resident of village Jhundo, P.O.Jhundo, P.S. Khaira, District Jamui.

.....**Petitioners.**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar.**O.P.**

17.6.2026

1. **One anticipatory bail application No.602/2026** has been filed on behalf of the **petitioners namely 1) Mangal Mandal, 2) Shambhu Mandal, 3) Sagar Mandal, and 4) Sonu Kumar,** and **second anticipatory bail application No.729/2026** has been filed on behalf of the **petitioners namely 1) Prakash Kumar, 2) Bablu Kumar @ Dablu Mandal, 3) Vikash Kumar, 4) Chhotu Kumar, and 5) Dilip Kumar Mandal @ Chunna Mandal.** These two applications arises out of the same case i.e. **Khaira P.S.Case No.129/2026 registered U/s. 190, 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 76, 351(2) and 352 of the B.N.S.** pending in the court of the C.J.M., Jamui. Hence,

both are taken up together for hearing and disposal by a common orders.

2. Heard Sri Shyamdeo Singh ,the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned P.P.for the State assisted by Sri Parsidh Narayan Singh, the learned counsel for the informant.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Dulari Devi has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Khaira P.S.alleging therein that on 3.4.2026 at 4 P.M. when her husband Umesh Mandal alongwith his brother Manoj Mandal and cousin Govind Mandal were mapping the land, then at the same time, total thirteen F.I.R.named accused persons including the petitioners came there having lashed with lathi, iron rod, farsa and spear and they started assaulting them, and it is specifically alleged against the petitioner Shiv Shankar Mandal that he struck on the head of Umesh Mandal by means of sword, and thereafter Prakash Mandal (petitioner) struck him on his head by iron rod, but he tried to save himself by applying his hand and consequently his left hand was broken. When the husband of the informant fell down, then Sagar Mandal (petitioner) also assaulted him giving a broken injury on his waist. It is also alleged that other accused persons also assaulted to Manoj Mandal with intention to kill him, and it is lastly alleged that Binod Mandal assaulted to Govind Mandal on his nose and when he fell down, then other accused persons also brutally assaulted him, and when the informant started crying, then accused Prakash Mandal @ Padku Mandal (petitioner) and Vikash Mandal (petitioner) had pulled her hair and pushed her on the earth and thereafter also outraged her modesty.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and falsely implicated in this case. All the petitioners have clean antecedent. There is land dispute between the parties, and for that there is case and counter case between them,and both the parties have got injuries due to fight between them. The injury caused to the injured Umesh Mandal and Manoj Mandal is not specifically attributed to any of the petitioners. Moreover, some other co-accused persons have been granted regular bail by this court vide an order dated 7.5.2026 passed in B.A.No.256/2026 and B.A.No.292/2026.

5. The learned P.P.for the State assisted by the learned lawyer for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and

submitted that there are two injuries caused to the injured Umesh Mandal who happens to be husband of the informant, and out of that, the injury No.1 is danger to life, and injury No.2 is grievous in nature, and further Manoj Mandal who happens to be brother of Umesh Mandal has also got two injuries, out of which, injury No.1 is simple, and opinion is reserved regarding injury No.2, and injuries are mentioned in paragraph 71 of the case diary. It is also submitted by the learned lawyer for the informant that injured family members of the informant were treated up to P.M.C.H. Patna.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that all the petitioners are named in the F.I.R. and there is grievous injury to the husband of the informant, and another injury is opined to be dangerous to life which clearly mentioned in paragraph 71 of the case diary. Co-accused has been granted regular bail by this court, therefore, the case of the petitioners do not stand on similar footing.

Hence, in view of the serious injury caused to the husband of the informant, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, and accordingly, their prayer for anticipatory bail is **rejected**.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.