

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VI, JAMUI

Regular Bail Petition No. 297 of year 2026
(Arising out of Sono P.S. Case No. 80/2026)

1. Suryamani Kumar, S/o- Sablu Singh

R/o: Vill- Bhandra, P.S.- Khaira, Distt- Jamui

2. Aashish Kumar @ Aashish Kumar Singh, S/o- Lalan Singh @ Lalan Pd. Singh

R/o: Vill- Amethiyadih, P.S.- Sono, Distt- Jamui

3. Navin Kr. Singh, S/o- Vidya Singh

R/o: Vill- Koniya, P.S.- Charkapathar, Distt- Jamui

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... Opposite Party

For the Petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the State : Ld. Addl. P.P. Sri. Chigu Ravidas.

Present: Manoranjan Kumar Jha,
Additional Sessions Judge-VI, Jamui

Dated: 11.06.2026

1. The present Bail Petition has been filed on behalf of accused-petitioners namely, **1. Suryamani Kumar 2. Aashish Kumar @ Aashish Kumar Singh 3. Navin Kr. Singh**, who is in judicial custody since 26.04.2026 in connection with Sono P.S. Case No. 80/2026, U/s 127(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 308(5), 198, 3(5) of B.N.S. is hereby disposed of.

2. The allegations against the petitioners is that during patrolling duty on 21.04.2026 at about 11:30 P.M. near Dumari Check Post, the petitioners alongwith other official stopped the scorpio of the informant and made allegation of drinking alcohol and demanded Rs. 20,000/- from them and when the informant and the travellers of the scorpio protested then the accused assaulted them and compelled them under fear to transfer money from their account to the account of one Adarash Prakash through UPI and after extorting money, the informant and others kept hostages were released.

3. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the petitioners that no other bail application has been filed either in this Ld. Court or the Hon'ble High Court Patna except the present one.

4. It is also submitted by the Ld. Counsel of the petitioners that the accused petitioners have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is also submitted by the Ld. Counsel of the petitioners that the allegation of demanding money and sending online and giving in cash are also false and concocted as the same was returned to the informant by the receiver.

5. On the other hand, Ld. Additional Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail and submitted to reject the same.

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VI, JAMUI

Regular Bail Petition No. 297 of year 2026
(Arising out of Sono P.S. Case No. 80/2026)

Contd.....
11.06.2026

6. Heard both the sides and perused the material available on record. The informant had levelled allegation of extortion and hostage against the police officials. The informant in his re-statement and the witnesses in their respective statements have supported the case of the prosecution as reflects from para 2, 3 of the CD. Para 4 of the CD is the screen shot of the mobile which also supports the case. Para 6 of the CD is the place of occurrence and para 8 is the CCTV footage while the accused were identified by the informant/victim is mentioned in the para 9 of the CD. Para 10 of the CD reflects that the police identified the accused persons through video footage and arresting of accused. Further, para 11 of the CD is the confessional statement of one of the accused namely Suryamani Kumar wherein the accused admitted the incident and involvement of other accused. Para 39 of the CD is supervision note wherein the superior officer had made several instructions to the investigating officer. Further there is direct and specific allegation of extortion, assault and making hostage during patrolling duty against the accused persons. Moreover, the case is still under investigation and the accused being police official having capacity to temper the evidence or pressurize the witnesses.

7. Therefore, considering the seriousness of alleged occurrence and specific and direct allegation, this Court is of the view that it is not a fit case to grant privileges of bail to the accused-petitioners, at this stage.

8. Consequently, the Regular Bail prayer of the present petitioners-1. **Suryamani Kumar 2. Aashish Kumar @ Aashish Kumar Singh 3. Navin Kr. Singh**, is hereby **Rejected**.

9. O/c is directed to send a copy of this order along with Trial Court Record to the concerned Court for needful.

Sd/-
A.S.J.-VI, Jamui
Dated:- 11.06.2026