

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

-----**(B.A. No. 283/2026)**-----

Arising out of Jhajha P.S. Case No. 133 Year 2026, District-Jamui

Pramod Yadav & Anr. Vs. State of Bihar

For Petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri. Murlidhar Pandey.

For The State : Ld. Addl.P.P. Sri. Sanjiv Kumar Singh.

Date of order : 13.05.2026

1. The present regular bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioners namely **1. Pramod Yadav, S/o Kaleshwar Yadav, aged about 30 years and 2. Longi Yadav, S/o Daho Yadav, aged about 50 years, both are R/o Vill-Dhamana, P.S.-Jhajha, District-Jamui**, who are languishing in jail custody since 22.03.2026 in connection with Jhajha P.S. Case No. 133 Year 2026 registered under sections 190, 191(2), 118(1), 118(2), 109 of the B.N.S. and 27 of the Arms Act.

2. The prosecution case in brief is that informant Dinesh Yadav alleges that on dated 21.03.2026 at about 08:00 o' clock, he together with his family members was sitting at his house meanwhile accused persons namely Kaleshwar Yadav, 2. Pramod Yadav, 3. Vijay Yadav, 4. Rampravesh Yadav, 5. Sunil Yadav, 6. Pawan Yadav, 7. Shivendra Yadav, 8. Longi Yadav, 9. Champa Devi and 10. Bholiya Devi together with 4-5 unknown persons having armed with weapons started assaulting him and his family members due to which he together with his family members sustained injuries. He further alleges that accused namely Sunil Yadav and Vijay Yadav also fired bullet with pistol pointing his wife however she narrow escaped. Thereafter, the police was called on dial 112 and all the injured persons were admitted to hospital. On the basis of above, FIR was registered against the accused persons.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence and falsely been implicated in this case due to family dispute. No other bail application is pending against the petitioners in any court except the present one. The petitioner have no criminal antecedent. As a matter of fact, on the alleged date of occurrence prosecution party brutally assaulted these petitioners and their family members due to which they sustained injuries, to this effect counter case i.e. Jhajha P.S. Case No. 134/2026 has been registered against the informant and his family members on the same day. All the sections are bailable except section 109 of the B.N.S. and 27 of the Arms Act which is not applicable against the petitioners. There is no specific allegation of assault against the petitioners. The petitioners are in custody since 22.03.2026, therefore, it is prayed to enlarge the petitioners on regular bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

-----**(B.A. No. 283/2026)**-----

Arising out of Jhajha P.S. Case No. 133 Year 2026, District-Jamui

Pramod Yadav & Anr. Vs. State of Bihar

5. Heard both parties in detail and perused the record.
6. The present FIR has been registered for offence punishable, under sections 190, 191(2), 118(1), 118(2), 109 of the B.N.S. and 27 of the Arms Act. The re-statement of informant has been recorded vide para no. 2 of the case diary and statement of witnesses have been recorded vide para no.3 and 35 of the case diary. Injury reports of injured persons are also attached with the case diary.
7. Perusal of record reflects that though the accused petitioners is named in the FIR and there is allegation of assault against them. However, from perusal of record it transpires that there is no specific allegation of assault or bullet firing with an intention to kill has been levelled against these petitioners. The allegations as levelled are general and omnibus in nature. A counter case has also been lodged against the prosecution parties of this case regarding the same occurrence. The injuries of injured persons namely Dinesh Yadav, Pappu Yadav, Gita Devi have been opined as simple in nature. The petitioners are languishing in jail since 22.03.2026.
8. Considering aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of general allegation against the petitioners coupled with period of incarceration, the regular bail petition of the petitioners namely **Pramod Yadav and Longi Yadav, is hereby allowed.** They are directed to be released on bail by furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned trial court, subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 480(3) of the B.N.S.S.
9. O/C is directed to send back lower court record, if any, along with a copy of this order to the court concerned for needful.

Dictated

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui

Copy forwarded to the court concerned

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui