

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VI, JAMUI

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 525 of year 2026

(Arising out of Chandramandih P.S. Case No. 165/2023)

1. Upendra Yadav @ Dipendra Yadav, S/o- Late Bhuma Yadav @ Bhum Yadav

R/o: Vill- Ranga, P.S.- Jasidih, Distt- Deoghar, Jharkhand

2. Mukesh Das @ Mukesh Kumar, S/o- Bishwanath Das

R/o: Vill- Khorī Paran, P.S.- Jasidih, Distt- Deoghar, Jharkhand

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... Opposite Party

For the Petitioner :Ld. Advocate, Sri Manoj Kumar Mishra

For the State :Ld. Addl. P.P. Sri. Chigu Ravidas

**Present: Manoranjan Kumar Jha,
Additional Sessions Judge-VI, Jamui**

Dated: 29.06.2026

1. By way of this order the Anticipatory Bail Petition u/s 482 of B.N.S.S., 2023 filed by the accused-petitioners, namely, **1. Upendra Yadav @ Dipendra Yadav & 2. Mukesh Das @ Mukesh Kumar**, in connection with Chandramandih P.S. Case No. 165/2023, U/s- 341, 323, 307, 504, 379, 34 of IPC is hereby disposed of.

2. The case of Prosecution in brevity is that the informant's son Pappu Yadav on 06.09.2023 had gone to night duty at Bambam Singh's Chaar Diwari (boundary). Thereafter, on the next morning, the informant came to know from the cattle owner who were grazing their cow that informant's son is lying injured inside the Chaar Diwari (boundary). Upon this, the informant along with villagers reached to the place of occurrence and saw that his son is lying unconscious and lot of blood was also lying on the floor. They have taken him to Sadar Hospital, Deoghar for treatment and then the doctor referred him for better treatment. Informant got him admitted to Dr. Abhinash Singh Care Diagnostic. It is further alleged that when the son of informant regained consciousness on 08.09.2023, he told the informant that Upendra Yadav, Mahesh Yadav, Brahmdeo Yadav, Sumant Yadav, Mukesh Yadav and unknown persons came at 2:00 in the night to dismantle the gate of boundary and on protest by his son, all the six persons have assaulted him from rod, bricks and pathhar, due to which he fell down and become unconscious and Mahesh Yadav has also taken away his mobile phone.

3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the accused-petitioners that no other bail application has been filed either in this Ld. Court or the Hon'ble High Court Patna except to it.

4. It is also submitted by the Ld. Counsel of the petitioners that the accused petitioners have committed no offence and are quite innocent. They have been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioners are men of spotless character. The informant has not seen the occurrence. The FIR based on hearsay and as such case became doubtful. It is totally false to say that the

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VI, JAMUI

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 525 of year 2026

(Arising out of Chandramandih P.S. Case No. 165/2023)

**Contd.....
29.06.2026**

petitioners have assaulted to Pappu Yadav. There is abnormal delay in filing the case without any explanation. Further submitted that the parties have amicably settled the case and the victim has filed compromise in this case. Further submitted that the victim had also filed affidavit stating therein that none had assaulted him and he sustained injury due to fall on brick.

5. On the other hand, Ld. A.P.P. opposed the bail but conceded the fact that the parties have compromised the case and the victim had filed an affidavit.

6. Heard both the sides and perused the material available on record. It reflects that though the informant's son had made specific allegation of assault upon the petitioners but in submitted affidavit, it has been specifically stated by the victim that none of the accused had assaulted him and he sustained injury due to fall on the brick. Further, the parties have voluntarily compromised the case. Moreover, as para 42 of CD, the petitioners are carrying clean antecedent.

7. Considering the above mentioned facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the parties have compromised the case, this Court finds that a lenient approach can be taken in respect of petitioner's prayer and accordingly, the prayer of pre-arrest bail of the petitioners, namely, **1. Upendra Yadav @ Dipendra Yadav & 2. Mukesh Das @ Mukesh Kumar**, is hereby **Allowed**. The petitioners are directed to be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within four weeks from the order on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with two sureties of like amount each and, subject to the conditions that, **one of the bailor should be blood relative of the petitioners and the petitioners shall not involve in similar offences**. The petitioners shall also file an affidavit/undertaking with respect to obey the condition as mentioned in section 482(2) of B.N.S.S., 2023.

8. The observation made in the present bail petition order are for purpose of deciding the present petition and do not affect the factual matrix of investigation / trial of the present case, which is separate issue as per law.

9. O/C is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned Court for needful, at once.

Sd/-

A.S.J-VI, Jamui

Dated:- 29.06.2026