

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VI, JAMUI
Regular Bail Petition 264 of year 2026
(Arising out of Jhajha P.S. Case No. 529/2025)

Suresh Yadav, S/o- Late Yamuna Yadav

R/o: Village- Kahan, P.S.- Jhajha, Distt.- Jamui

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... Opposite Party

For the Petitioner's : Ld. Counsel Sri Ashok Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the State : Ld. Addl. P.P. Sri Chigu Ravidas

Present: Manoranjan Kumar Jha,
District & Additional Sessions Judge-VI, Jamui

Dated:- 07.05.2026

1. The present Bail Petition has been filed on behalf of accused-petitioner namely, **Suresh Yadav**, who is in judicial custody since 01.02.2026 in connection with Jhajha P.S. Case No. 529/2025, U/s 190, 191(2), 115(2), 126(2), 351, 117(2), 109 of B.N.S., is hereby disposed of.
2. The Prosecution case as per FIR in brief is that the accused persons including the petitioner entered into the informant's house and started abusing and on protest the accused namely Rakesh Yadav attacked with sword to the informant and thereby leg's finger cut down and blood started oozing and when the family members of informant came to save her then the accused even assaulted them. It is further alleged that the accused-Rakesh Kumar also caused to injury to Silpi Kumari on head by sword. It is also alleged that the accused snatched a golden chain.
3. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the petitioner that earlier ABP was rejected by this Court and thereafter a Cri. Misc bearing no. 6332/2026 preferred before the Hon'ble Court, Patna and the same was disposed on 07.02.2026. It is further submitted that besides aforesaid, no other bail application has been filed either in this Ld. Court or the Hon'ble High Court Patna except the present one.
4. It is further submitted by the Ld. Counsel of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence. Further submitted that he has been falsely implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. No offence under Section 109 of B.N.S. is made out against the petitioner. The petitioner is having some criminal antecedents but he is on bail. It is, therefore, prayed to grant Regular Bail Petition to the accused-petitioner.
5. On the other hand, Ld. Additional Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail and submitted to reject the same.
6. Heard both the sides and perused the material available on record. On perusal, it reflects that the case has been registered at the instance of Informant-Rambatiya Devi. It further reflects that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of assault to Ramnath Yadav by stick. Para 15 of the CD is the injury report of Natho Yadav wherein the doctor found pain in arm and whole body of the injured and later on found injuries are simple in nature. He further reflects that the police has submitted charge-sheet in this case and the petitioner is in judicial custody since 01.02.2026.

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VI, JAMUI

Regular Bail Petition 264 of year 2026

(Arising out of Jhajha P.S. Case No. 529/2025)

Contd....
07.05.2026

7. Under the aforesaid facts and circumstances and mainly considering the custody period of the petitioner and charge-sheet being submitted, this Court is of the view that a lenient approach can be taken for the petitioner's prayer of Regular Bail. Accordingly, the Regular Bail Petition the accused-petitioner, namely, **Suresh Yadav** is hereby **Allowed**. He is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/-with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below with further condition that, one of the bailor must be **close relative**.

8. The observation made in the present bail petition order are for purpose of deciding the present petition and do not affect the factual matrix of investigation / trial of the present case, which is separate issue as per law.

9. O/c is directed to send a copy of this order along with Trial Court Record to the concerned Court, at once.

Sd/-
A.S.J.-VI, Jamui
Dated:- 07.05.2026