

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

A.B.A.No.506/2026

(Arising out of Sono P.S.Case No.323/2025)

1. Diplal Yadav @ Deepak Yadav S/o Harkhu Yadav aged about 30 years
resident of village Hathiya Pathar (Sarebad), P.S. Sono, District Jamui.

..... **Petitioner**
Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

18.6.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Sono P.S.Case No.323/2025 registered for the offence U/s.126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 74, 329(4), 110, 117(2), and 303(2) read with 3(5) of the B.N.S. pending in the court of the A.C.J.M.2nd, Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Nuneshwar Sah, the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P. for the State.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Laxman Yadav has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Sono Police Station alleging therein that on 14.12.2025 there was a theft in the house of the informant, and when the informant was sitting in his new house along with his family members, then at the same time, F.I.R. named total nine accused persons including the petitioner came there and started scuffling with the informant and his family members, and it is further alleged that Jogan Yadav struck on the head of the Sangita Devi by means of lathi who happens to be daughter-in-law of the informant and wife of the informant was assaulted by Khagan Yadav and when son of the informant namely Pintu Yadav came, then the petitioner assaulted him on his hand and consequently, his hand was got fractured, and another accused Bhaglu Yadav assaulted to the informant by means of lathi. It is further alleged that the accused persons also looted the valuables and ornaments from the house of the informant.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. It is also submitted that there is no any injury to the informant and his family members which is apparent from the case diary. Moreover, both the parties are happens to be co-villager and agnet to each other and there is civil dispute between them.

5. The learned P.P.for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that there is allegation against the petitioner for assaulting on the hand of son of the informant which is not the vital part of the body, and there is no any injury report is available in the case diary.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid facts, let the petitioner be released on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within four weeks from the date of the order on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down U/s.482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)
Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.