

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

----- (B.A. No. 250/2026) -----

Arising out of Chihra P.S. Case No. 61/ 2025, District-Jamui

Mukesh Das Vs. State of Bihar

For Petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri Satyanarayan Ravidas.

For The State : Ld. Addl. P. P. Sri. Sanjeev Kumar Singh.

Date of order : 22.04.2026

1. The present regular bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely **Mukesh Das, S/o Bhuneshwar Das, aged about 38 Years, R/o Village- Madhopur, P.S.- Chandramandih, District-Jamui** who is languishing in jail custody since 01.04.2026 in connection with Chihra P.S. Case No. 61/2025 registered U/s- 303 (2), 319(2), 338, 318(4), 336(3) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

2. The prosecution case in brief is that informant Guriya Devi alleges that on dated 12.07.2025 one cousin of her village namely Rudhni Devi informed that one Manager Saheb namely Charku Das will get open her account in Maiya Yojana in Chakai and instructed her to bring Aadhar Card. The informant together with two other women namely Kari Devi and Sima Devi arrived at Chakai where two more persons namely Suchit Das and Ram Prasad Das joined Manager Saheb and brought these women near Sabji Market and got issued three new SIM cards each on the name of these three women and Suchit Das kept these three SIM cards with him and Manager Saheb namely Charku Das @ Chiku Das gave them rupees 300 each and asked them to come tomorrow to open account. On the next date i.e. on 13.07.2025, these three women again came to Chakai with their cousin Rudhni Devi where those three accused persons were already there. They again got issued three new SIM cards and went to CSP and got opened account against the name of these three women and told them that on Monday they will get passbook, ATM card and SIM card. Suchit Das also kept photocopy of Aadhar card of these women. When these women went to bring their passbook then CSP owner informed them that the persons who brought them there have already taken their passbook. When these women informed the episode in village, they came to know that many women have been trapped in this incident and the villagers informed that these accused persons commit cyber fraud in which CSP owner remains indulged and suggested to register complain in police station. On the basis of above, FIR was lodged against accused persons.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and falsely been implicated in this false and concocted case. No other bail application is pending against this petitioner except the present one. The petitioner has criminal antecedent in one case in which he is on bail. The name of the petitioner has surfaced in the confessional statement of one of the accused namely Charku Das @ Chiku Das which has no evidentiary value in the eye of law. The FIR named accused persons of this case namely Charku Das, Suchit Das and Ram Prasad Das has already been granted bail by this court. The case of the

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

----- (B.A. No. 250/2026) -----

Arising out of Chihra P.S. Case No. 61/ 2025, District-Jamui

Mukesh Das Vs. State of Bihar

petitioner stands on better footing that of the accused persons who have been granted bail. Hence, it has been prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

5. Heard both the parties in detail and perused the record.

6. The present FIR has been registered under sections 303 (2), 319(2), 338, 318(4), 336(3) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. The re-statement of informant has been recorded vide para no. 2 and statement of witnesses has been recorded vide para no. 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 62, and 68 of the case diary.

7. On perusal of the record, it reflects that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name has surfaced in the confessional statement of one of the accused of this case namely Charku Das who has already been granted regular bail. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner of committing fraud or misusing or misusing SIM cards and copy of Aadhar cards and fake bank accounts. During the course of investigation, nothing has been recovered from the possession of accused petitioner and he is languishing in jail since 01.04.2026.

8. Considering aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, limited role of accused petitioner coupled with period of incarceration, the regular bail petition of the petitioner namely **Mukesh Das, is hereby allowed**. He is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of Ld. Court below subject to the conditions as laid down in Section 480(3) BNSS.

9. Office is directed to send the trial court record, if any, to the court concerned along with the copy of this order. Office is also directed to send a copy of this order to the P.S. concerned.

Dictated

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui

Copy forwarded to the court concerned

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui