

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

----- (A.B.A. No. 488/2026) -----

Arising out of Jamui P.S. Case No. 153/ 2026, District-Jamui

Saurav Kumar & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar

For Petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri. Makeswar Yadav.

For The State : Ld. Addl.P.P. Sri. Sanjeev Kumar Singh.

For Informant: Ld. Counsel Sri Kumar Shashi Shekhar Sinha.

Date of order : 15.05.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioners namely **1. Saurav Kumar, S/o Srilal Sharma, aged about 21 years, 2. Manish Kumar, S/o Shankar Sharma, aged about 22 years, 3. Srilal Sharma, S/o Brahmdeo Sharma, aged about 49 years and 4. Sonu Kumar, S/o Srikant Sah, aged about 23 years, All are R/o Village- Harla, P.S. & District- Jamui** who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Jamui P.S. Case No. 153/2026 registered under sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2), 110, 308(3) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

2. The case of the prosecution in brief is that informant Munilal Mandal states that his son Sanjeet Kumar is an assistant teacher in +2 Higher Secondary School, Manjosh. He further alleges that on dated 28.03.2026 at about 09:00 am, his son was going to school from his house meanwhile in the way, accused namely Saurav Kumar, Sonu Kumar, Manish Kumar demanded rupees ten thousand as 'Rangdari' and when his son objected the accused persons threatened to bear consequences on return from the school. On the same day, when his son went to Upgraded Middle School, Harla to see fair on the occasion of *Pran Pratistha* of "Maa Drurga" all the accused petitioners were already there and accused namely Sonu Kumar assaulted on the head of informant's son with rod and accused Saurav Kumar and Manish Kumar started assaulting him with Lathi-Danda. Accused Srilal Sharma was also accompanying the other accused persons in assault due to which his son sustained head injury. The accused persons also took away gold-chain of his son weighing 10g. Somehow, his son could be saved by villagers. It is further alleged that the accused persons had also demanded Rangdari in the year 2025. On the basis of above, FIR was lodged against the accused persons.

3. Learned counsel for petitioners submits that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence and have falsely been implicated in this case. No other bail application on behalf of petitioners is pending before this court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent. All the sections are bailable except section 110, 303(2) and 308(4) which are super added sections only with a view to make the offence non-bailable. As a matter of fact, informant's son Sanjeet Kumar entered into the shop of the petitioners and

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

----- (A.B.A. No. 488/2026) -----

Arising out of Jamui P.S. Case No. 153/ 2026, District-Jamui

Saurav Kumar & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar

committed theft and tried to outrage the modesty of wife of petitioner no.3 against which a complaint case has been filed in the court of Ld. C.J.M. Hence, it has been prayed to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Addl. P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

5. Heard both the parties in detail and perused the record.

6. The FIR has been registered for the offence punishable under sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2), 110, 308(3) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. The re-statement of the informant has been recorded vide para no. 2 and statement of witnesses has been recorded vide para no. 3, 6, 9 and 10 of the case diary. Injury of injured has also been attached with the case diary.

7. On perusal of the record, it reflects that though the petitioners are named in the FIR, however there is no specific allegation against the petitioners of demanding rangdari or assaulting the informant's son. The injury sustained by the informant's son namely Sanjit Kumar has been opined as simple in nature. The alleged sections are punishable up to the imprisonment of seven years. From further perusal of record, it also reflects that the accused petitioners have been extended benefit of section 35(3) of the B.N.S.S and the petitioners are having clean antecedent.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case coupled with quantum of punishment, the anticipatory bail application of accused petitioners namely **1. Saurav Kumar, 2. Manish Kumar, 3. Srilal Sharma and 4. Sonu Kumar, is hereby allowed.** Accordingly, it is ordered that at the event of arrest or surrender of the above-named petitioners before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of this order shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below with the conditions as laid down U/s. 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

9. O/C is directed to send back lower court record along with a copy of this order to the concerned court for needful.

Dictated

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui

Copy forwarded to the court concerned

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui