

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, JAMUI

REGULAR BAIL NO.- 236/2026

Rajesh ManjhiPetitioner

Vs.

The State of Bihar.....O.P.

Arising out of Khaira P.S Case No.11/2026

**U/S- 191(2),191(3), 190, 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 117(2), 324(4), 351(2), 352, 329(4) of
B.N.S**

Ld. counsel for the Petitioner.....Sri Pradeep Mandal, Advocate

Ld. counsel for the State.....Md. Tahir Ansari, A.P.P.

**PRESENT-PRABHAT KUMAR SRIVASTAVA
DISTT. & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, JAMUI
DATE OF ORDER- 28.04.2026**

ORDER

28.04.2026

Regular bail application dated 08.04.2026 filed on behalf of the petitioner Rajesh Manjhi S/o-Kishori Manjhi R/o vill- Joga Jhingoi, P.S- Khaira, District- Jamui in connection with Khaira P.S Case No-11/2026, U/S- 191(2),191(3), 190, 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 117(2), 324(4), 351(2), 352, 329(4) of B.N.S is put up before me for order today. Copy of bail petition has duly been served to Ld. A.P.P.

The prosecution case as per information given by informant Sunita Devi is that on 10-01-2026 at about 6:00 A.M she was going to purchase something from nearby shop in the meantime due to some previous dispute Co-accused Jaldhar Manjhi, SanjayMajhi, Dharmendra Manjhi, Niraj Manjhi, Dhiraj Manjhi, Kishori Manjhi, Nitu Devi and Babni Devi and present petitioner Rajesh Manjhi all armed with lathi, danda, iron rod stopped her and started abusing her. When she prevented them from abusing, Co-accused Jaldhar Manjhi armed with iron rod assaulted her on her head due to which her head got fractured. Dhiraj Manjhi and Dharmendra Manjhi also assaulted her with lathi due to which her frontal part and middle part of head as well as back portion got cut at three sides. After hearing informant's crying her son Suresh Manjhi came to save her but present petitioner Rajesh Manjhi and co-accused Sanjay Manjhi assaulted him also with lathi due to which he got head injury and blood started oozing from it. The informant and her son somehow escaped from the place of occurrence and reached their home. The accused-petitioner along with co-accused persons also reached there and assaulted

informant's husband and daughter-in-law. They assaulted informant's husband on her left hand due to which her hand got fractured. They also completely ruined informant's household items.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that Anticipatory Bail Petition has already been rejected by the Ld. Court of D.A.S.J-IV, Jamui vide A.B.A. No- 100/2026 on dated. 16.03.2026. The petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this false case at the instance of mischief mongers. All the sections are bailable in nature except Section 109 B.N.S which is super- addition to make the offence non-bailable and also not applicable against the petitioner. The petitioner denied all the allegations levelled against him in the FIR and he is rotting in judicial custody since 26.03.2026. The petitioner is poor person. . It is therefore prayed to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

On the other hand learned A.P.P. opposed the bail application and submitted that the petitioner has committed serious offence so he does not deserve bail.

Heard the submission made by both the parties. On perusal of case record it transpires that there is allegation against the petitioner that he and Sanjay Manjhi have assaulted the informant's son Suresh Manjhi with lathi and danda. On perusal of case diary, it appears that allegation against the petitioner is round up and omnibus in nature and there is no repetitive blow of lathi and danda over the informant and her family members. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner Rajesh Manjhi. On perusal of the injury report, it also opined that he has sustained injury that is simple in nature. Moreover, the petitioner has been under judicial custody since 26.03.2026.

Considering aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, taking into account the period of custody and the fact that allegation against him is general and omnibus, I am inclined to incline to enlarge the petitioner on bail, Hence the present bail petition of petitioner **Rajesh Manjhi is hereby Allowed** and the petitioner is directed to be released on furnishing a bail bond of Rs. 20,000 with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. Trial Court, with direction that the petitioner will cooperate in investigation and trial and will remain physically present in the court when ordered so. One of the bailor shall be family member/ close relative of the petitioner.

**District & Addl. Sessions Judge-IV,
Jamui**

Memo No. Date.....

Copy forwarded to C.J.M, Jamui. For information & needful,
reference in Khaira, P.S Case No-469/2024.

**District & Addl. Sessions Judge-IV,
Jamui**