

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

A.B.A.No.463/2026

(Arising out of Chandramandih P.S.Case No.12/2026)

1. Prem Yadav S/o Bharat Yadav aged about 46 years resident of village Karmatar, P.S. Chandramandih, District Jamui.

..... **Petitioner**
Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

08.5.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner apprehending arrest in connection with Chandramandih P.S.Case No.12/2026 registered for the offence U/s.126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 329(4), 109(1), 352 and 351(2) read with 3(5) of the B.N.S.pending in the court of the C.J.M., Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Chandan Kumar Singh, the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P. for the State.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Rita Devi has filed the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Chandramandih Police Station alleging therein that on 7.1.2026 at 7 a.m, total eight F.I.R. named accused persons including the petitioner alongwith other 5-6 unknown co-accused persons came at the house of the informant and started assaulting her husband due to land dispute and dispute regarding partition. It is further alleged that the informant came out from the house after hearing the noise and reached near to the place of occurrence, then she was also assaulted by the accused persons and consequently she got injury on his head. The accused persons also assaulted the daughter of the informant as well as two sister-in-law of the informant and all have sustained injury during the occurrence.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner and there is no any specific overt act of assault has been alleged against him. Moreover, all the injuries inflicted to the informant side are simple in nature except one injury to Mina Devi which is not on vital part of the body. Moreover, the petitioner has clean antecedent and other co-accused person have been granted regular bail by this court vide an order

dated 23.2.2026 passed in B.A.No.56/2026

5. The learned P.P.for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner and there is no any specific overt act of assault has been alleged against him and he has clean antecedent.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid facts, let the petitioner be released on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within four weeks from the date of the order on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down U/s.482(2) of the B.N.S.S.with further conditions that one of the bailors of the petitioner must be his close relative, and petitioner shall remain present before the court below on each and every date, failing to appear on two consecutive dates without any cogent reason shall result in cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.