

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

B.A.No.228/2026

(Arising out of Sikandra P.S.Case No.48/2026)

1.Pankaj Kumar S/o Umesh Ram aged about 32 years resident of village Pohe,
P.S. Sikandra, District Jamui.

2.Raghunandan Yadav S/o Rajendra Yadav aged about 37 years resident of
village Pachmahua, P.S. Sikandra, District Jamui.

.....**Petitioners**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar.....O.P.

30.4.2026

1. This is a regular bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner who is in judicial custody since 28.3.2026 in connection with Sikandra P.S.Case No.48/2026 registered for the offence U/s.115(2), 119(1), 126(2), 127(7), 135, 304(2), 351(2) and 351(3) read with 3(5) of B.N.S. pending in the court of Sri Mrinal Aryan, J.M. 1st class, Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Makeswar Prasad Yadav, the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned P.P. for the State.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Ravi Kumar has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Sikandra Police Station alleging therein that on 27.2.2026 while he was going to D.M. Office, Jamui for unloading some articles from his pick-up van, then in the mid way near Sikandra round about at 10.30 A.M. two persons stopped his vehicle and started demanding money and forcibly alighted him from his vehicle and thereafter he called 2-4 persons at the place of the occurrence and they started beating the informant. It is further alleged that the informant was taken to a hotel near Bus-stand and they again started beating him by fists and slaps and thereafter, they snatched Rs.5000/- from his pocket, and during the occurrence, the accused persons were taking the name of two persons as Dharmendra Kumar and Shankar Kumar, and they further threatened for dire consequences if any police case has been registered against them. It is further stated that the informant went to the D.M. Office Jamui and after unloading the articles informed to the Government officials present there. Accordingly, the instant case was registered against two named accused persons namely Shankar

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,
B.A.No.228/2026,(Arising out of Sikandra P.S.Case No.48/2026) Pankaj Kumar & 1 another, Vrs.State
of Bihar, Order dated 30.4.2026

Kumar and Dharmendra Kumar along with 8-10 unknown persons.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are not named in the F.I.R. and they have been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner No.1 has two criminal antecedent as per disclosure of the pairvikar, whereas, petitioner No.2 has no any criminal antecedent. There is a counter complaint case No.26-C/2026 registered U/s. SC/ST Act against the informant of this case. And the petitioners are languishing in judicial custody for more than one month

5. The learned P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that there is serious allegation against the petitioners for demanding extortion money from the informant, and he has been also assaulted by the accused persons. The counter case i.e. complaint case No.26-C/2026 has been filed against the informant later to save the skin of the accused persons, and the same has been filed on 6.3.2026, whereas, the present case has been registered on 27.2.2026. Moreover, both the petitioners have been arrested while committing the another crime of similar nature which is apparent from paragraph 50 of the case diary.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and further considering the serious allegation against the petitioner, and the fact that both the petitioners were arrested while committing similar type of another crime which is mentioned in para 50 of the case diary. One of the petitioner has two criminal antecedent and there are abundant incriminating materials came during investigation against the petitioners which is mentioned in paragraph 5,9,10,21,24,33,40,41,50 and 51 of the case diary.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid facts, this court is not inclined to release the petitioners on bail, and accordingly, their prayer for bail is **rejected**.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)
Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.