

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

A.B.A.No.445/2026

(Arising out of Barhat P.S.Case No.165/2025)

1. Prince Kumar S/o Sanoj Rao aged about 18 years resident of village Dhamna,
P.S. Jhajh, District Jamui.

..... **Petitioner**
Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

20.4.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Jhajha P.S.Case No.165/2025 registered for the offence U/s.103, and 85 read with 3(5) of the B.N.S.pending in the court of the C.J.M., Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Makeswar Prasad Yadav, the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P. for the State.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Fulo Devi has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Barhat Police Station alleging therein that the informant had married her younger daughter Aradhna Kumari to Baban Kumar Rawat in the year 2014 in accordance with Hindu rites and custom, and after marriage her daughter has got blessed with two children, a boy and a girl. It is further alleged that thereafter Baban Kumar Rawat got a government job in Bihar Police in the year 2015. Therefore, the matrimonial family of the deceased started demanding dowry from the informant and due to non fulfillment of that they started torturing the daughter of the informant. When the informant came to know this fact, she gave Rs. five lakhs to the matrimonial family of her daughter, but co-accused Anandi Rawat who happens to be father-in-law of the deceased further demanded a four-wheeler vehicle, otherwise, he threatened for ousting the daughter of the informant from the matrimonial home. It is lastly alleged that on 9.11.2025 when the informant went to take her daughter with her sons namely Rajiv Kumar and Ritik Kumar, then all the F.I.R. named accused persons started beating the sons of the informant by a bamboo stick then the daughter of the informant came to intervene. But her mother-in-law dragged her inside the house and due to which, her daughter became half naked and when the informant and her son ran to save her, then all the accused persons did not allow

them to enter into the house and committed the murder of the daughter of the informant by strangulation and ran away from the back door of the house. Thereafter the informant and her sons went inside the house, then saw that informant's daughter was lying dead and there were injuries marks all over the dead body.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner has clean antecedent. The petitioner is nephew of the husband of the deceased. It is further submitted that Aaradhna Kumari died due to accident and only with intention to fetch illegal money, this false case has been registered against the petitioner and other accused persons. Moreover, one co-accused has been granted regular bail by this court vide an order dated 27.2.2026 passed in B.A.No.116/2026.

5. The learned P.P.for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and prayer for anticipatory bail of co-accused has already been rejected by this court. Moreover, the allegation against the petitioner is very serious.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid facts, this court feels that it is not a fit case for granting the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, and accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory bail is **rejected**.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.