

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-VIII, JAMUI

Present: Amod Kumar, District & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII, Jamui

------(A.B.A. No. 448/2026)-----

Arising out of Lachhuar P.S case No. 13/2026, Dist-Jamui

Surendra Yadav @ Surendra Kumar Vs. State of Bihar

For petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri. Ram Kishor Yadav.

For The State : Ld. Addl.P.P. Sri. Shambhu Sharan.

Dated:-05-06-2026

1. This Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of accused petitioner namely **Surendra Yadav @ Surendra Kumar**. This bail petition is filed in connection with Lachhuar P.S case No. 13/2026, lodged U/s- 126(2), 115(2), 85, 3(5) of B.N.S., Act. Copy of bail petition has been earlier supplied to prosecution.

2. The prosecution case in brief is that according to the informant Bindu Kumari she stated that in the month of October, Surendra Yadav married with informant at the Mahadev Simariya temple and brought the informant to his home to live with him. Surendra Yadav kept the marriage photographs on his mobile phone. Now he stated that "he has not married with the informant" furthermore, on dated 29.01.2026 at around 08:00 p.m., he hit the informant on the head with an iron rod which caused severe head injury. Informant fell down and become unconscious. While she was down, Rekha Kumari and Sulekha Kumari, both daughters of Hazari Yadav beaten the informant alongwith Surendra Yadav's nieces, Khushbu Kumari and Mausam kumari, also assaulted the informant. Thereafter she got her treatment done at the Primary Health Center in Sikandra. On the basis of the written application of the informant the present F.I.R. was registered.

3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner that besides the instant case no other criminal proceedings and no other bail applications of instant nature has earlier been filed on behalf of the petitioners mentioned hereinabove either before this court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is a man of spotless character and has got not criminal antecedent history. The petitioner is quite innocent and he has committed no offence.

4. On the other hand, Learned Add. P.P vehemently opposed the bail and submitted to reject the same.

5. Heard both the Ld. Counsel and peruse the material on record including the F.I.R, Case diary and Injury report. On perusal of the

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-VIII, JAMUI

Present: Amod Kumar, District & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII, Jamui

----- (A.B.A. No. 448/2026) -----

Arising out of Lachhuar P.S case No. 13/2026, Dist-Jamui

Surendra Yadav @ Surendra Kumar Vs. State of Bihar

record it transpires that the restatement of the informant is mentioned in para 2 of the case diary where she duly supported the prosecution case. During argument the ld. Counsel for the defence argued that the petitioner has never married with the informant. While in para 7 the statement of witness Hazari Yadav is mentioned who is the father of the petitioner. Who has admitted that the informant is her daughter-in-law and there was always a fight take place between his son and daughter-in-law. While other independent witnesses mentioned in paras 8, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 of the case diary has admitted the petitioner had love marriage with the informant and the petitioner use to beat his wife. Also in this case the investigation is still going on. Thus considering the fact and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioner this court is not inclined to grant extraordinary relief of the petitioner. Thus the present Anticipatory Bail application for applicants namely **Surendra Yadav @ Surendra Kumar** is hereby **rejected**.

O/C is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned court for information & needful.

Dictated

District & Addl. Sessions Judge-VIII, Jamui