

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

A.B.A.No.384/2026

(Arising out of Sikandra P.S.Case No.283/2020)

1. Ranjit Kumar @ Ranjit Yadav S/o Ramdas Yadav aged about 31 years resident of village Bichhwe, P.S. Lachhuar, District Jamui.

..... **Petitioner**
Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

06.5.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Sikandra P.S.Case No.283/2020 registered for the offence U/s.302 read with 34 of the I.P.C.and section 27 of the Arms Act pending in the court of Sri Mrinal Aryan, J.M. 1st class, Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Makeshwar Prasad Yadav, the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P. for the State.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Dashrath Mahto has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Sikandra Police Station alleging therein that in the evening of 14.12.20, villagers were watching the show of magician, and informant along with his son namely Pravin Kumar and his other family members were also present there, and in course of watching the show at about 10.15 P.M., Ranjit Yadav started quarreling with the informant on the issue of taking place nearer to magician, and when informant protested, then brother of Ranjit Yadav namely Chandan Yadav, and cousin Makeshwar Yadav caught the waist of informant, and Ramdas Yadav gave order to Ranjit Yadav to fire, and on this, Ranjit Yadav (petitioner) opened fire over chest of Pravin Kumar, and due to which, Pravin Kumar fell down, and he died at the spot, and thereafter, all the accused persons fled away.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is student and preparing for competitive examination. In this case, some other co-accused persons have been acquitted in S.T.No.338/2022 and S.T.No.247/2023, and the petitioner has only one criminal antecedent.

5. The learned P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,
ABA.No.384/2026 (Arising out of Sikandra P.S.Case No.283/2020) Ranjit Kumar @ Ranjit Yadav, Vrs.State of Bihar, Order dated 6.5.2026.

for anticipatory bail and submitted that the petitioner is main accused of this case having criminal antecedent.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that the petitioner is main accused of this case against whom there is specific allegation for killing the son of the informant and he has one criminal antecedent.

Hence, in view of the serious allegation, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, and accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.