

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

----- (B.A. No. 222/2026) -----

Arising out of Laxmipur P.S. Case No. 213 of 2010, District-Jamui

Ramdhani Turi Vs. State of Bihar

For petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri Gauri Shankar.

For The State : Ld. Addl.P.P. Sri Sanjeev Kumar Singh.

Date of order : 21.04.2026

1. The present regular bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely **Ramdhani Turi, S/o Late Kali Turi, aged about 45 years, R/o Sindhiya, P.S.- Laxmipur, District-Jamui**, who is languishing in jail custody since 18.11.2025 in connection with Laxmipur PS Case No. 213 of 2010, registered under sections 364(A), 120(B) and 212/34 of the I.P.C.

2. As per FIR, informant Vijay Kumar Pandey alleges that on dated 15.11.2010, while he was going to his Sasural Jhikuliya alongwith his brother-in-law (Sadhu) namely Abhimanyu Pandey. When he reached at Yatri Shed near Gangta Forest some offenders having armed with weapons stopped his motorcycle by assaulting with Danda and took both of them inside the forest. The offenders snatched his all belongings and cash. The accused person kept on detaining him since 02:00 PM to 05:00 PM. Thereafter in the evening, the accused persons keeping Abhimanyu Pandey detained set free the informant and instructed the informant to convey of demanding ransom of rupees five lakh. On the basis of above, FIR was registered against the unknown accused persons.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is not named in the FIR nor he was identified by the victims. The petitioner has been remanded in this case only on the basis of confessional statement of Rajesh Thakur recorded at para no. 50 of the case diary. Nothing incriminating articles is recovered by the possession of the petitioner. Co-accused Rajesh Thakur has already been acquitted on dated 28.11.2020 vide ST No. 219/2011. The petitioner has criminal antecedent in two more cases. The police has submitted charge-sheet against the petitioner alongwith others on dated 19.01.2026 in ST No. 219/2011. Therefore, it is prayed to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

----- (B.A. No. 222/2026) -----

Arising out of Laxmipur P.S. Case No. 213 of 2010, District-Jamui

Ramdhani Turi Vs. State of Bihar

5. Heard both the parties in detail and perused the record.
6. The present FIR has been registered for offence punishable u/s 364(A), 120(B) and 212/34 of the I.P.C. Restatement of informant is recorded vide para no. 2. Statement of witness has been recorded vide para no. 3 of the case diary. Charge-sheet against the petitioner has been filed.
7. On perusal of the record, it reflects that the FIR was registered against unknown persons and the name of the petitioner had surfaced in the confessional statement of the accused of this case namely Rajesh Kumar Thakur wherein it is revealed that the petitioner along with Tantan Mishra, Deepak Tanti, Dharma Paswan, Surendra Paswan, Md. Hafiz, Nakul Tanti, Umesh Tanti, Pradeep Das, Aruj Das was indulged in the abduction of one Diwakar Kumar and after receiving money they set free the victim. Except this there is nothing in the record against the petitioner. The ransom amount claimed to be received was not recovered. No TIP has been done to identify the petitioner. Charge-sheet against the petitioner has already been filed on 19.01.2026 and the petitioner is languishing in jail custody for more than five months.
8. Therefore considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and nature of allegations coupled with period of incarceration, the regular bail petition of the petitioner namely **Ramdhani Turi, is hereby allowed. He is directed to file bail bond after framing of charge.** After framing of charge, he is directed to be released on bail by furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of Ld. Court below subject to the conditions as laid down in section 437(3) Cr.P.C.
9. Office is directed to send the trial court record, if any, to the court concerned along with the copy of this order.

Dictated

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui.

Copy forwarded to the Court concerned

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui.