

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

(A.B.A. No. 437/2026)

Arising out Jhajha P.S. Case No. 100/2026, District-Jamui

Ramanand Das Vs. State of Bihar

For Petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri Shyamdeo Singh.

For The State : Ld. Addl. P. P. Sri. Sanjeev Kr. Singh.

Date of order : 19.05.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely **Ramanand Das, S/o Prabhash Chandra Das, aged about 65 years**, R/o Vill- Ambedkar Nagar Barmasiya, P.S. & District- Deoghar, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Jhajha P.S Case No. 100/2026 registered under sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of the B.N.S.
2. The prosecution case was registered on the basis of written application of Nisha Singh, Circle Officer, Jhajha mentioning therein that she has received letter from District Magistrate cum Collector, Jamui wherein it is mentioned that on dated 27.02.2026 during enquiry of Circle Office, Jhajha an Enquiry Committee was constituted on dated 25.02.2026 which submitted its report on dated 05.03.2026 mentioning therein that the then Revenue Officer and In-charge Revenue Officer namely Sri Awdhesh Kumar and Sri Ramanand Das and the then Circle Officer Sri Amit Kumar Ranjan rejected the application of mutation mentioning the reason that the land presented for mutation belongs to *Gairmajarua Khata and Khasra*, however lateron, the same *Gairmajarua* land was approved for mutation in favour of riyats. On the basis of above, this case was registered against the accused persons.
3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and falsely been implicated in this case. No other bail application against this petitioner is pending either before this court or Hon'ble High Court Patna. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent. The whole case is based on suspicion and conjectures. The petitioner has not recommended any false report to C.O. and real position was reported to the C.O. in respect of the land. The petitioner is not a competent officer and authority to pass any order in respect of creation of Jamabandi. The C.O. is the competent and final authority to pass any final order and as such petitioner is not responsible for any final order. The sections are not applicable against the petitioner because neither the petitioner has cheated to any person nor petitioner has created false and fabricated document and used the same. Hence, it is prayed that the accused petitioner may kindly be enlarged on anticipatory bail.
4. On the other hand, Ld. Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the accused petitioner is named in the FIR itself and there is serious allegation against him of misusing public office in discharge of his official duty. Hence, the accused petitioner does not deserve anticipatory bail.
5. Heard both the parties in detail and perused the record.

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

(A.B.A. No. 437/2026)

Arising out Jhajha P.S. Case No. 100/2026, District-Jamui

Ramanand Das Vs. State of Bihar

6. The FIR has been registered for the offence punishable under sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of the B.N.S. Re-statement of informant has been recorded vide para no. 4 and statement of witness has been recorded vide para no. 6 of the case diary. Statement of members of the enquiry committee has also been recorded vide para no. 13, 16, 19 and 22 of the case diary. Enquiry report of Joint Enquiry Team dated 05.03.2026 constituted by Collector, Jamui has also been placed on record wherein it has been recommended by the Joint Enquiry Team to lodge FIR against the delinquent officers.

7. On perusal of the record, it transpires that the petitioner is a Revenue Officer and named in the FIR. It is alleged against him that previously this petitioner and other co-accused persons rejected some mutation cases giving the reason that the said *Khasra*(s) belong to *Gairmajarua Khata*, however lateron mutation cases of the said land of the *Gairmajarua Khata* and *Khasra* was approved in favour of *riyats*. Hence, it appears that the petitioner working as Revenue Karamchari and Revenue officer recommended for mutation in 56 mutation cases against the land of *Gairmajarua Khata* and *Khasra*, which indicates a well-planned criminal conspiracy. The Enquiry Committee has clearly reported that despite having knowledge of *Gairmajarua Khata* the accused deliberately and illegally approved the mutations in favour of *riyats* which amounts to integrity against the government causing financial loss to the government.

8. Considering aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of serious allegation against the petitioner, this court is of the considered view that it is not a fit case to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the accused petitioner. Hence, the anticipatory bail application of petitioner namely **Ramanand Das**, is hereby dismissed. Accordingly, this bail application is disposed of.

9. Office is directed to send the trial court record, if any, to the court concerned along with the copy of this order.

Dictated

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui

Copy forwarded to the court concerned

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui