

THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- II, JAMUI
PAPPU YADAV & 1 Others VS. THE STATE OF BIHAR
B.A. NO. - 218 Of 2026

ORDER

Present: Sudhir Sinha

28.04.2026

This regular bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners **1. Pappu Yadav @ Pappu Kumar Yadav** and **2. Pramod Yadav @ Pramod Kumar** who is in custody since 17.03.2026 in connection with Jhajha P.S. Case No. 236/2021 registered U/s- 147, 148, 149, 447, 341, 323, 324, 307, 379, 504, 427 of IPC and 26 Cattle Trespass Act, the copy of which is served upon the Id. P.P.

The Id. Counsel for the custody accused has submitted that accused persons are rotting in jail since 17.03.2026. Earlier Anticipatory Bail vide 1561/2021 was rejected by A.D.J-II, Jamui on 23.11.2023 after Cri. Misc. No. 71490/21 before Hon'ble High Court, Patna but same was disposed off with direction to surrender before the Id. Court below and the petitioners voluntarily surrender before Id. Court, the regular bail petition was rejected on 17.03.2026 by Id. C.J.M, Jamui and after that no bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners either before this Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioners have got one criminal case vide Jhajha P.S Case No. 225/2020 in which the petitioners are on bail. The police has not given notice u/s- 35(3) of BNSS. The mother of petitioner Jirawa Devi has also lodged a case vide Jhajha P.S Case No. 238/21. There is case and counter case between the parties. The accused side has also sustained several injuries. As per Id. Counsel the petitioner are innocent, have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case due to land dispute. The petitioners are men of means and there is no chance of their absconding and they are ready to furnish bail bond to the satisfaction of Id. Court. In such view the Id. Counsel prayed to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

The Id. Addl.P.P opposed the prayer for bail.

On perusal of case diary it is clear that on the basis of written information of Mahendra Yadav the Jhajha P.S. Case No. 236/2021 was registered U/s- 147, 148, 149, 447, 341, 323, 324, 307, 379, 504, 427 of IPC and 26 Cattle Trespass Act wherein he has stated on 04.08.2021 at about 12:00 in the day he was ploughing his plot, when the cattle of Lalo Yadav came grazing mung crop of his plot. On his objection Lalo Yadav starting abusing him. The accused persons Vikash Yadav, Pappu Yadav, Manish Kumar, Subhash Yadav, Tukan Yadav, Lalo Yadav, Indradeo Yadav, Pramod Yadav, Sakindra Yadav assembled armed with axe, Khanti, spade, iron rod. Pramod Yadav with intention to commit murder, assaulted the informant causing cut at his head. Indradeo Yadav also assaulted with Khanti on the chest of informant. The labourers who were working in the nearby field namely Sanjay Yadav, Pradeep Yadav, Tejo Yadav, Mukesh Yadav, Govind Yadav, Bishu Yadav came to save him but the accused persons also assaulted them with intention to commit murder. The accused Vikash Yadav armed with tangi, Indradeo Yadav armed with Kudal and Tukan Yadav armed with Khanti assaulted Sanjay Yadav which

THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- II, JAMUI
PAPPU YADAV & 1 Others VS. THE STATE OF BIHAR
B.A. NO. - 218 Of 2026

ORDER

Present: Sudhir Sinha

caused injury at his head and Pappu Yadav, Manish Yadav, Tukan Yadav armed with Khanti iron rod assaulted Pradeep Yadav with intention to commit murder which caused injury on his head and fractured his hand. Sakindra Yadav, Manish Yadav and Pappu Yadav armed with iron rod assaulted Tejo Yadav, which caused injury at his head. Lalo Yadav, Pramod Yadav and Vikash Yadav armed with rod, axe with intention to commit murder assaulted Govind Yadav which caused injury at hand of Govind Yadav. In course of assault Vikash Yadav snatched gold chain from the neck of Sanjay Yadav and Manish Yadav also snatched silver chain from neck of Pradeep Yadav. The informant in his re-statement CD para-9 has stated the same facts. The witnesses in CD paras-10, 11, 16, 17, 24, 25, 26, have supported the incident. The injury report of Mahendra Yadav (informant) in CD para-30 is simple in nature, while the injury of Mukesh Yadav is simple in nature, while the injuries of Sanjay Yadav, injury no. 1, 2, and 3 are reserved, while the injury report of Govind Yadav shows (1) Cut on mid parietal region of size 2"x1/2x bone deep, (2) Cut on lateral ankle size 1"x1/2"x bone deep, (3) Abrasion on right foot 1"x1/2", (4) Abrasion, (5) Pain and swelling, injury no. 1 and 2 are reserved and injury no. 3 is grievous in nature, while the injury of Tejo Yadav injury no. 1 is reserved, injury no. 2 & 3 are simple in nature and injury no. 4 is grievous. while the injury of Pradeep Yadav injury no. 2 is grievous in nature. The Court finds that there is no specific allegation against the petitioners. There is case and counter case between the parties. Earlier the petitioners had been granted bail by Hon'ble High Court, Patna vide Cri. Misc No. 71490/2021 with condition if it is found that the informant has suffered any injury then in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to. Co-accused persons have been granted bail by this Court. The case of petitioners stand on similar footing.

Therefore considering the facts and circumstances of this case having regard to the submissions advanced by both the parties, the custody accused persons **1. Pappu Yadav @ Pappu Kumar Yadav and 2. Pramod Yadav @ Pramod Kumar** are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the concerned court.

Dictated & Corrected

District & Addl. S.J -II, Jamui
28.04.2026