

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

A.B.A.No.413/2026

(Arising out of Jhajha P.S.Case No.109/2026)

1. Pramodh Yadav S/o Khublal Yadav aged about 40 years,
 2. Lalan Kumar Yadav S/o Gopal Yadav aged about 72 years,
 3. Rupa Devi W/o Pramodh Yadav @ Gopal Kumar Yadav aged about 37 years
- All three petitioners are resident of Banjama, P.S. Jhajha, District Jamui,
4. Lalan Yadav S/o Naresh Yadav aged about 28 years,
 5. Ashok Yadav S/o Naresh Yadav aged about 36 years,
- Both resident of village Rewatoli, P.S. Belhar, District Banka.

..... **Petitioners**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

05.5.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Jhajha P.S.Case No.109/2026 registered for the offence U/s.115(2), 126(2), 109, 74, 351(2), and 352 read with 3(5) of the B.N.S.pending in the court of the C.J.M., Jamui.
2. Heard Sri A.K.Yadav, the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned P.P.for the State.
3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Manoj Yadav has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Jhajha Police Station alleging therein that on 8.3.2026 at 7 P.M. all the petitioners had come at the house of the informant having lashed with stick, rod and Axe and started abusing and assaulting the informant. It is further alleged that the accused Pramod Yadav struck on the head of the informant by means of Axe, consequently the informant got injury on his head and when father and wife of the informant came in his rescue, then they were also assaulted by the accused persons, consequently, the hand of his father was broken, and the modesty of his wife was also outraged by the accused persons.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and falsely implicated in this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against all the petitioners except Pramod Yadav and all the petitioners have clean antecedent. All sections inserted in the F.I.R. are bailable except sections 109 and 74 of the B.N.S. which are super addition.
5. The learned P.P.for the State assisted by the learned lawyer for the informant have opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that four

injuries are inflicted to the father of the informant, and out of whom, one injury is grievous in nature. Moreover, the informant also got injury on his parietal region of scalp which is vital part of the body, though the injuries are stated to be simple in nature.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and after perusal of record and case diary, this court finds that all the petitioners are named in the F.I.R. and there is specific allegation that they have assaulted to the informant and his father with common intention. The injury report is mentioned in paragraph 46 of the case diary, in which, it is stated that out of four injuries caused to the father of the informant, one is grievous in nature. Moreover, the informant also got injury on his skull. During investigation, the injured and other witnesses have supported the allegation against the petitioners which are mentioned in paragraph 9, 10, 11 and 12 of the case diary.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid facts, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, and accordingly, their prayer for anticipatory bail is **rejected**.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.