

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

B.A.No.209/2026

(Arising out of Sikandra P.S.Case No.51/2026)

1.Shamim Khan S/o Mateen Khan aged about 64 years resident of village Zakra,
P.S. Sikandra, District Jamui (Bihar)

.....**Petitioner**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar.....O.P.

29.4.2026

1. This is a regular bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner who is in judicial custody since 4.3.2026 in connection with Sikandra P.S.Case No.51/2026 registered for the offence U/s.118(2),and 109(1) read with 3(5) of B.N.S.,and section 27 of the Arms Act pending in the court of the C.J.M.,Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Rajesh Kumar Singh,the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.for the State assisted by Sri Sitaram Singh, the learned counsel for the informant.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the instant case has been registered on the basis of fard beyan of the informant Arman khan recorded at Sadar Hospital,Jamui and it is alleged that on 1.3.2026 at about 6 P.M. when the informant was returning from the Mosque after making prayer, then all of sudden, F.I.R. named four accused persons including the petitioner and two unknown persons ambushed and started firing at him and his family members, which resulted in gun shot injury to Arbaz khan, Md.Mohfiz, Noman khan and the informant, and thereafter, they were brought to the Sadar Hospital Jamui for treatment by local people.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner has clean antecedent. It is further submitted that it is not clear in the F.I.R.that who assaulted whom. The allegation of causing fire arm injury to four persons but there is one injury report of injured Noman khan is available with the case diary. Moreover, in the case diary, it is only alleged against the petitioner that he is only an order giver and not caused any gun shot injury to the informant and his family members.

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,
B.A.No.209/2026,(Arising out of Sikandra P.S.Case No.51/2026) Shamim khan, Vrs.State of Bihar,
Order dated 29.4.2026

5. The learned P.P.for the State assisted by the learned lawyer for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the petitioner alongwith other accused persons with common intention caused fire arm injury to four persons and the injury of one Noman khan is available in the part of case diary, in which, it is specifically stated regarding gun shot injury over left side of neck which is grievous in nature, and it is also stated that the patient was referred to P.M.C.H.Patna for further treatment, and investigation of the case is still going on against the petitioner and others.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and further considering the particular fact that there is allegation against the petitioner and others for causing gun shot injury to the informant and his family members,and injury of one Noman khan corroborates the allegation against the petitioner. Moreover, the investigation of the case is still going on, and injury report of one Noman Khan is available with the case diary, in which, it is stated that gun shot injury over left side of neck to Noman Khan which is grievous in nature.

Hence, in view of the serious allegation, this court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail, and accordingly, his prayer for bail is **rejected**.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.