

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VI, JAMUI

Regular Bail Petition No. 207 of year 2026

Arising out of Chihra P.S. Case No. 102/2025

Dulla Hembram, S/o- Bitku Hembram

R/o: Vill- Satpokhra, P.S.- Chakai, Distt- Jamui

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... Opposite Party

For the Petitioner's : Ld. Counsel Sri Sunil Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Ld. Addl. P.P. Sri. Chigu Ravidas.

**Present: Manoranjan Kumar Jha,
District & Additional Sessions Judge-VI, Jamui**

Dated: 23.04.2026

1. The present Bail Petition has been filed on behalf of accused-petitioner namely, **Dulla Hembram**, who is in judicial custody since 10.01.2026 in connection with Chihra P.S. Case No. 102/2025, U/s 137(2), 103(2), 238(2) of B.N.S.

2. The prosecution case in brief is that on 07.12.2025 at about 4:00 p.m., the Informant-Somari Hansda had a talk on phone with her daughter namely, Sarita Marandi on Mobile No. 7739898457. It is alleged that informant's daughter Sarita Marandi used to live for study in the house of Naresh Hembram as tenant at village- Raghusar and she had talk daily with her daughter through mobile. It is further alleged that on that day, the phone of her daughter went switched off and continued to be switched off for 4 days. Thereafter, on dated 12.12.2025, informant went at the room of her daughter and found that her room was locked, when the informant has asked to the landlord Naresh Hembram about her daughter, he said that they all have gone to their house then, informant tried to find out her daughter at different places, but failed. Later on, she got to know that her daughter Sarita Marandi has been kidnapped by Ravi Hembram.

3. It was submitted by Ld. Counsel for the petitioner that no other bail application has been filed either in this Ld. Court or the Hon'ble High Court Patna except the present one.

4. It was also submitted by the Ld. Counsel of the petitioner that the accused petitioner has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. Further submitted that the petitioner was not named in FIR and later on his name has been dragged in this case while there is nothing on record against him except suspicion. Further submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent and prayed to grant Regular Bail Petition to the accused-petitioner.

5. On the other hand, Learned Additional Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the prayer of bail and submitted to reject the same.

6. Heard both the sides and perused the material available on record. The FIR has initially been registered for the offence punishable U/s- 137(2) of B.N.S. but during investigation Section 103(2), 238(2) of B.N.S. were added. It reflects that during investigation the dead body of Sarita Marandi, the daughter of the informant, was recovered from a borewell as reflects from inquest report in para 13 of the CD. It further reflects that the informant in her

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VI, JAMUI

Regular Bail Petition No. 207 of year 2026

Arising out of Chihra P.S. Case No. 102/2025

Contd....

23.04.2026

reinstatement and the witnesses in their respective statements have supported the case as appears from para 4, 5, 6, 18, 19, 25, 26, 37 & 40 of the case-diary. The witness in para 40 of the case-diary has specifically stated that the accused persons, namely, Ravi Hembram, Bitku Hembram, Chhotki Marandi, Dulla Hembram @ Mantu Hembram & Jyoti Hembram have murdered Sarita Marandi. The reference of post-mortem report has been submitted by I.O. in para 86 of the case-diary. The reference of FSL, Inspection Team on place of occurrence is given in para 14 of the case-diary and the seizure-list of seized item reference is given in para 154 of the case-diary. The confessional statement of co-accused-Jyoti Hembram, Raju Murmu, Kishan Murmu are available on record wherein the accused have narrated the whole incident and even involvement of the accused-petitioner along with others in the alleged occurrence. Further, the petitioner is the close family member and there is direct and specific allegation of involvement of this petitioner along with others in the murder of Sarita Marandi. Moreover, the case against the petitioner is at initial stage and investigation against him is still pending.

7. Considering the above mentioned facts and circumstances and serious nature of accusation, this Court finds that the petitioner does not deserves the privilege of Regular Bail, at this juncture, when investigation in this case is still under progress and ample of evidence yet to come in the matter relating to murder of deceased-Sarita Marandi.

8. Consequently, the Regular Bail prayer of the present petitioner-Dulla Hembram, is hereby **Rejected**.

9. O/c is directed to send a copy of this order along with Trial Court Record to the concerned Court at once.

Sd/-

A.S.J.-VI, Jamui,

Dated:- 23.04.2026