

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

A.B.A.No.399/2026

(Arising out of Chandramandih P.S.Case No.50/2026)

1. Pramod Das S/o Bhuneshwar Das aged about 32 years,
 2. Sunil Das S/o Bhuneshwar Das aged about 41 years,
 3. Prema Devi W/o Sunil Das aged about 39 years, and
 4. Pano Devi @ Panma Devi W/o Bhuneshwar Das aged about 58 years,
- All resident of village Madhopur, P.S. Chandramandih, District Jamui.

..... **Petitioners**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

08.4.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Chandramandih P.S.Case No.50/2026 registered for the offence U/s.126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 352 and 351(2) read with 3(5) of the B.N.S.pending in the court of the C.J.M., Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Satya Narayan Ravidas, the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned P.P. for the State.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Renu Devi has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Chandramandih Police Station alleging therein that the co-accused Mukesh Das who happens to be husband of the informant abused and assaulted the informant by means of stick, due to which, she got injury over her eyes and it is also alleged that the petitioners who are relative of her husband have also assaulted her. The reason for the occurrence has been assigned by the informant as she protested the illicit relation of her husband with the wife of petitioner Pramod Das.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and falsely implicated in this case due to some family feud, and the instant case was lodged by the informant against her husband who is co-accused of this case. The nature of injury inflicted to the informant is simple which is mentioned in para 32 of the case diary. The petitioners are relatives of the husband of the informant and there is no any specific allegation of assault against them. It has been further submitted that the petitioners have clean antecedent, and earlier the petitioners have not filed any bail petition to

this court or before the Hon'ble Court.

5. The learned P.P.for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and further considering the particular fact that the informant has filed the instant case against her husband and his family members due to family feud, and nature of injury inflicted to the informant is simple. Moreover, there is no any specific allegation of assault against the petitioners, and the petitioners have clean antecedent.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid facts, let the petitioners be released on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned court below within four weeks from the date of the order on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down U/s.482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.