

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VI, JAMUI

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 395 of year 2026

(Arising out of Sikandra P.S. Case No. 56/2026)

Karu Thathera @ Binod Thathera, S/o- Krishna Thathera

R/o:- Vill- Sikandra, P.S.- Sikandra, Distt.- Jamui Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... Opposite Party

For the petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri Sunil Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Ld. Addl. P.P. Sri. Chigu Ravidas

**Present: Manoranjan Kumar Jha,
Additional Sessions Judge-VI, Jamui**

Dated: 09.06.2026

1. By way of this order the Anticipatory Bail Petition u/s 482 of B.N.S.S., 2023 filed by accused-petitioner, namely, **Karu Thathera @ Binod Thathera**, in connection with Sikandra P.S. Case No. 56/2026, U/s 303(2), 317(2)(3)(4), 3(5) of B.N.S. Act, is hereby disposed of.
2. The allegation against the petitioner is that he had received stolen property from the accused Suman Kumar and Rikki Kumar.
3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the accused-petitioner that no other bail application has been filed either in this Ld. Court or the Hon'ble High Court Patna except to it.
4. It is further submitted by the Ld. Counsel of the petitioner that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. The instant case is totally false, concocted and frivolous one and has been falsely implicated in this case. The benefit of Section 35(3) of B.N.S.S., 2023 has not been given to the petitioner by the police. The petitioner has got no connection and business transaction with Suman Kumar and Rikki Kumar. Further submitted nothing has been recovered from the shop of the petitioner. The police being inclusion of the informant has forcefully seized the old materials from the shop of the petitioner which is not stolen articles rather that articles were purchased by his grand father.
5. On the other hand, Ld. Additional P.P. opposed the bail and submitted to reject the same.
6. Heard both the sides and perused the material available on record. The petitioner is named in FIR. Re-statement of the informant and the statement of witnesses have supported the case. Further it transpires that the police has recovered stolen goods from the shop of the petitioner. Further the case against the

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-VI, JAMUI

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 395 of year 2026

(Arising out of Sikandra P.S. Case No. 56/2026)

petitioner is at initial stage and under investigation.

7. Considering the fact as aforesaid and the fact that the police claims to have recovered stolen property from the shop of the petitioner, this court of the opinion that it is not a fit case for pre-arrest bail. Accordingly, a petitioner is directed to surrender before the Ld. Court below and pray for regular bail. It is further directed that the Ld. Court below shall pass order in accordance with law without being influenced with this order.

8. In the light of aforesaid the instant petition for pre-arrest bail stands disposed of.

9. Ordered accordingly.

10. O/c to send a copy of this order along with Trial Court Record to the concerned Court, at once.

Sd/-

A.S.J-VI, Jamui

Dated:- 09.06.2026