

**IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI**

**(A.B.A. No. 332/2026)**

**Arising out Jhajha P.S. Case No. 100/2026, District-Jamui**

**Amit Kumar Ranjan Vs. State of Bihar**

For Petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri Sitaram Singh.

For The State : Ld. Addl. P. P. Sri. Sanjeev Kr. Singh.

**Date of order : 19.05.2026**

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely **Amit Kumar Ranjan, S/o Rajendra Prasad @ Rajendra Yadav, aged about 39 years**, R/o Vill- Binoba Nagar Gaya, P.S.- Magadh Medical College Gaya, District- Gaya, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Jhajha P.S Case No. 100/2026 registered under sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of the B.N.S.
2. The prosecution case was registered on the basis of written application of Nisha Singh, Circle Officer, Jhajha mentioning therein that she has received letter from District Magistrate cum Collector, Jamui wherein it is mentioned that on dated 27.02.2026 during enquiry of Circle Office, Jhajha an Enquiry Committee was constituted on dated 25.02.2026 which submitted its report on dated 05.03.2026 mentioning therein that the then Revenue Officer and In-charge Revenue Officer namely Sri Awdhesh Kumar and Sri Ramanand Das and the then Circle Officer Sri Amit Kumar Ranjan rejected the application of mutation mentioning the reason that the land presented for mutation belongs to *Gairmajarua Khata and Khasra*, however lateron, the same *Gairmajarua* land was approved for mutation in favour of riyats. On the basis of above, this case was registered against the accused persons.
3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and falsely been implicated in this case. No other bail application against this petitioner is pending either before this court or Hon'ble High Court Patna. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to misconception of facts by the Enquiry Committee. The petitioner has passed mutation order on the basis of the report of the revenue *Karamchari* and the verification report of the revenue officer, the then C.I. and on perusal of documents submitted therewith. There is legal provision of cancellation of the mutation order which has not been done as such there is no application of any of the offences against the petitioner. The petitioner has not cheated anyone nor committed any forgery of any document. It is relevant to mention here that there is similar allegation against the informant of the present case but she has not been made accused in this case which shows double standard of the finding of the Enquiry Committee. The Enquiry committee itself ought to have recommended for the cancellation of the mutation order in question. The petitioner is a public servant and has passed the alleged orders in the discharge of his official duty and as such his prosecution in the present case is quite illegal. In view of above the alleged offences are not made out against the petitioner. The petitioner is a public servant, therefore, there is no

**IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI**

**(A.B.A. No. 332/2026)**

**Arising out Jhajha P.S. Case No. 100/2026, District-Jamui**

**Amit Kumar Ranjan Vs. State of Bihar**

chance of his absconding. Hence, it is prayed that the accused petitioner may kindly be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand, Ld. Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the accused petitioner is named in the FIR itself and there is serious allegation against him of misusing public office in discharge of his official duty. Hence, the accused petitioner does not deserve anticipatory bail.

5. Heard both the parties in detail and perused the record.

6. The FIR has been registered for the offence punishable under sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of the B.N.S. Re-statement of informant has been recorded vide para no. 4 and statement of witness has been recorded vide para no. 6 of the case diary. Statement of members of the enquiry committee has also been recorded vide para no. 13, 16, 19 and 22 of the case diary. Enquiry report of Joint Enquiry Team dated 05.03.2026 constituted by Collector, Jamui has also been placed on record wherein it has been recommended by the Joint Enquiry Team to lodge FIR against the delinquent officers.

7. On perusal of the record, it transpires that the petitioner is a Circle Officer and named in the FIR. It is alleged against him that previously this petitioner and other co-accused persons rejected some mutation cases giving the reason that the said *Khasra(s)* belong to *Gairmajarua Khata*, however lateron mutation cases of the said land of the *Gairmajarua Khata* and *Khasra* was approved in favour of *riyats*. Hence, it appears that the petitioner working as Circle officer in connivance with other co-accused persons, granted illegal approval in 69 mutation cases pertains to the land of *Gairmajarua Khata* and *Khasra*, which indicates a well-planned criminal conspiracy. The Enquiry Committee has clearly reported that despite having knowledge of *Gairmajarua Khata* the accused deliberately and illegally approved the mutations in favour of *riyats* which amounts to integrity against the government causing financial loss to the government.

8. Considering aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of serious allegation against the petitioner, this court is of the considered view that it is not a fit case to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the accused petitioner. Hence, the anticipatory bail application of petitioner namely **Amit Kumar Ranjan, is hereby dismissed**. Accordingly, this bail application is disposed of.

9. Office is directed to send the trial court record, if any, to the court concerned along with the copy of this order.

Dictated

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui

Copy forwarded to the court concerned

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui