

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

A.B.A.No.326/2026

(Arising out of Barhat P.S.Case No.165/2025)

1. Baban Kumar @ Baban Kumar Rawat S/o Anandi Rawat aged about 33 years resident of village Darha, P.S. Barhat, District Jamui.

..... **Petitioner**
Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

23.3.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Barhat P.S.Case No.165/2025 registered for the offence U/s. 103 and 85 read with 3(5) of the B.N.S. pending in the court of the C.J.M., Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Makeswar Prasad Ydav, the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P. for the State.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Fulo Devi has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Barhat Police Station alleging therein that the informant had married her younger daughter Aradhna Kumari to Baban Kumar Rawat (petitioner) in the year 2014 in accordance with Hindu rites and custom, and after marriage her daughter has got blessed with two children, a boy and a girl. It is further alleged that thereafter Baban Kumar Rawat (petitioner) got a government job in Bihar Police in the year 2015. Therefore, the matrimonial family of the deceased started demanding dowry from the informant and due to non fulfillment of that they started torturing the daughter of the informant. When the informant came to know this fact, she gave Rs. five lakhs to the matrimonial family of her daughter, but co-accused Anandi Rawat who happens to be father-in-law of the deceased further demanded a four-wheeler vehicle, otherwise, he threatened for ousting the daughter of the informant from the matrimonial home. It is lastly alleged that on 9.11.2025 when the informant went to bring her daughter with her sons namely Rajiv Kumar and Ritik Kumar, then all the F.I.R. named accused persons started beating the son of the informant by a bamboo stick when the daughter of the informant came to intervene, then her mother-in-law dragged her inside the house and due to which, her daughter became half naked and when the informant and her son ran to save her, then all the accused persons did

not allow them to enter into the house and committed the murder of the daughter of the informant by strangulation and ran away from the back door of the house. When the informant and her sons went inside the house, then saw that informant's daughter was lying dead and there were injuries marked all over the body.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is happens to be husband of the deceased and he has no any chance of his absconding or tampering with the evidence.

5. The learned P.P.for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that the petitioner is husband of the deceased and there is serious allegation against him for committing murder of his wife. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent also.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that the petitioner is happens to be husband of the deceased and there is serious allegation against him for committing murder to his wife and he has criminal antecedent.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid facts, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, and accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory bail is **rejected**.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.