

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

A.B.A.No.302/2026

(Arising out of Malaypur P.S.Case No.17/2026)

1. Bhola Yadav S/o Arjun Yadav aged about 35 years,
 2. Shailendra Yadav S/o Arjun Yadav aged about 45 years,
 3. Raj Kumar Yadav S/o Shailendra Yadav aged about 20 years, and
 4. Arjun Yadav S/o Shukar Yadav aged about 65 years
- All resident of village Pamaiya, P.S. Malaypur, District Jamui.

..... **Petitioners**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

16.5.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Malaypur P.S.Case No.17/2026 registered for the offence U/s.191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 74, 108, 352, 351(2), and 351(3) of the B.N.S.pending in the court of the C.J.M., Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Chandradeo Prasad Gupta, the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P. for the State assisted by Sri Basuki Nath Singh, the learned counsel for the informant.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Gautam Kumar has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Malaypur Police Station alleging therein that on 9.2.2026 at 7 a.m. when he was at his house, then eight F.I.R. named accused persons including the petitioners came there having lashed with arms and started abusing due to some land dispute. It is further alleged that on the instigation of Arjun Yadav, Raj Kumar Yadav struck on the head of the informant by iron rod, and when the father of the informant came in his rescue, then Shailendra Yadav struck on his head, but he got injury on his hand while defending himself. Thereafter, Bhola Yadav and Arjun Yadav have also assaulted to the mother of the informant by means of stick who also got injury. Thereafter, other accused persons namely Laita Devi, Fulo Kumari, Sanju Devi and Sushma Kumari assaulted the parents of the informant by means of fists and slaps and also threatened for dire consequences if any case would be registered against them.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and falsely implicated in this case. The petitioners have

clean antecedent. The petitioners are happens to be agnate and there is land dispute between them.

5. The learned P.P.for the State assisted by the learned lawyer for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that the informant and their parents got grievous injury due to the assault made by the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that the nature of injuries of the parents of the informant are grievous as stated in the injury report.

Hence, in view of the nature of injury and serious allegation against the petitioners, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, and accordingly, their prayer for anticipatory bail is **rejected**.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.

COPY