

**In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,**

**A.B.A.No.264/2026**

(Arising out of Chandradip P.S.Case No.06/2017)

1. Avinash Kumar S/o Yogendra Singh @ Yogi Singh aged about 39 years,
2. Pappu Singh S/o Late Sudama Singh aged about 58 years,
3. Laxman Pandey @ Amit Kumar S/o Rajendra Pandey aged about 30 years,
4. Sanjeev Singh S/o Ramashrya Singh aged about 30 years, and
5. Yogendra Singh @ Yogi Singh S/o Late Sudama Singh aged about 58 years,  
All resident of village Noni, P.S. Chandradip, District Jamui.

..... **Petitioners**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

24.3.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Chandradip P.S.Case No.06/2017 registered for the offence U/s. 302, 504, 506, and 448 read with 34 of the I.P.C.pending in the court of the A.C.J.M.1st, Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Sitaram Singh, the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned P.P.for the State.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Dharmraj Singh @ Bablu Singh has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Chandradip Police Station alleging therein that on 26.1.2017 as per usual routine, his father Brindawan Singh went for sleeping after taking meal at their outer house, where the informant was also sleeping in the room. It is further alleged that the informant woke up after hearing the barking sound of the dog and saw that the petitioners were present there having lashed with pistol, then the informant asked the petitioners about the reason for their presence with arms, then it is alleged that the accused Avinash Kumar threatening him for giving a gun shot injury, and thereafter, he pointed pistol on the informant. Thereafter, accused Pappu Singh and Laxman Pandey gave a gun shot injury to the father of the informant who died on the spot. Thereafter, the accused persons fled away from the place of the occurrence.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and falsely implicated in this case. The petitioners have not moved earlier any bail petition either before this court or before the Hon'ble Court. It is further submitted that the police after investigation submitted final

report as no clue against the petitioners, but the learned court below has taken cognizance against all the accused persons for the offence punishable U/s.302, 120B read with 34 of the I.P.C. and 27 of the Arms Act.

5. The learned P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that petitioner No.1 and 2 namely Avinash Kumar and Pappu Singh have three criminal antecedents, whereas, petitioner Nos.4 and 5 namely Sanjeev Singh and Yogendra Singh @ Yogi Singh have one criminal antecedent, but petitioner No.3 Laxman Pandey has clean antecedent. It is also submitted that the case is very old and F.I.R. was lodged in the year 2017 and the cognizance was taken on 3.2.2026 against all the accused persons.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that since the learned court below has taken cognizance against the petitioners in a serious offence and stated that a prima facie case is made out against the petitioners. It is also stated that there is incriminating material against the petitioners in paragraph 5, 3, 38, 41, 50 and 82 of the case diary. Moreover, the four petitioners out of five have criminal antecedent as stated aforesaid.

Hence, in view of the serious allegation against the petitioners, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, and accordingly, their prayer for anticipatory bail is **rejected**.

( Dictated )

( Sandip Singh )

**Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.**