

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

----- (A.B.A. No. 259/2026) -----

Arising out of Complaint Case No. 463C/2011, District-Jamui

Mukesh Ravidas Vs. State of Bihar

For Petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri. Sanjay Kr. Singh.

For The State : Ld. Addl. P. P. Sri. Sanjeev Kumar Singh.

Date of order : 16.04.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely **Mukesh Ravidas, S/o Baleshwar Ravidas, aged about 42 years, R/o Vill-Sabejor, P.S.- Sono, District-Jamui** who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 463C/2026 for offence punishable under sections 498(A), 406 and 34 of I.P.C. & 3 /4 of D.P. Act.
2. As per complaint petition, complainant Sarita Devi alleges that her marriage was got solemnized with Mukesh Ravidas as per Hindu rites and customs about six years ago and soon thereafter the accused persons named in the complaint petition including this petitioner started demanding dowry of Rs. 1,50,000/- from the complainant and on non-fulfillment of the same, she was subjected to torture and cruelty. In this pretext, a Panchayati was also convened but the same also went in vain. It is further alleged that accused persons also tried to set the complainant on fire after pouring kerosene on her body.
3. The complainant was examined on S.A wherein she reiterates the allegation of dowry demand and torture. After inquiry, vide order dated 01.08.2011, Ld. Trial Court has taken cognizance for offence punishable u/s 498(A), 406 and 34 of I.P.C. & 3 /4 of D.P. Act. against the accused persons.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence at all and has falsely been implicated in this case. No other bail application on behalf of petitioner is pending either before this court or Hon'ble High Court Patna. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent. That the marriage of petitioner was solemnized 20 years ago. It is further averred that after lodging case against the petitioner, complainant Sarita Devi solemnized second marriage with one Bhuneshwar Das who is a resident of Munger. The petitioner has not committed any offence with his wife and is ready to keep his wife. Therefore, it has been prayed that the accused petitioner may kindly be enlarged on anticipatory bail.
5. On the other hand, learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.
6. Heard both the parties in detail and perused the record.

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

----- (A.B.A. No. 259/2026) -----

Arising out of Complaint Case No. 463C/2011, District-Jamui

Mukesh Ravidas Vs. State of Bihar

7. On perusal of the record, it transpires that the present case has been instituted on the basis of complaint petition. The complainant was served notice to appear before the court in -person whereupon she appeared before the court on dated 12.03.2026. During hearing of this bail petition, opportunity was given to the complainant and petitioner to explore the possibility of reunion, however, the same went in vain. The complainant has not turned up before this court for the last two dates in spite of her counsel was specifically directed to get appear the complainant. From perusal of record, it appears that there is no specific allegation of criminal breach of trust.

8. In these circumstances and considering aforesaid facts of the case, quantum of punishment, the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner namely **Mukesh Ravidas, is hereby allowed.** Accordingly, it is ordered that at the event of arrest or surrender of the above-named petitioners before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of this order shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below with the conditions as laid down U/s. U/s. 438(2) of Cr.P.C. Further with the condition that one of the bailors should be co-relative or family member of the petitioner.

9. O/C is directed to send back lower court record along with a copy of this order to the concerned court for needful.

Dictated

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui

Copy forwarded to the court concerned

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui