

**In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,**

**A.B.A.No.256/2026**

(Arising out of Jhajha P.S.Case No.530/2025)

1. Mukesh Yadav S/o Ganesh Yadav aged about 32 years,
2. Sharvan Kumar S/o Jai Prakash Yadav aged about 25 years,
3. Uma Devi W/o Jai Prakash Yadav aged about 48 years,
4. Ganesh Yadav S/o Gurshahi Yadav aged about 58 years, and
5. Jai Prakash Yadav S/o Late Sabhran Yadav aged about 50 years,  
All resident of village Charghara, P.S. Jhajha, District Jamui.

..... **Petitioners**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

23.3.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Jhajha P.S.Case No.530/2025 registered for the offence U/s.190, 191(2), 115(2), 126(2), 109, and 303(2) of the B.N.S.pending in the court of the C.J.M., Jamui.
2. Heard Sri Sanjay Kumar, the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned P.P. for the State.
3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Kanti Devi has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Jhajha Police Station alleging therein that on 8.11.2025 when the informant was constructing her house over her land, then F.I.R. named seven accused persons came there and started abusing her and raised objection for construction of the house, and when the informant protested, then the petitioner Jai Prakash Yadav who happens to be her brother-in-law along with other accused persons came on her house and started assaulting her, and due to the assault, she became faint and fell down on the earth and when her husband, son and other family members came in her rescue, then the accused persons also assaulted them badly and also damaged the household articles of her house and gave threatening for dire consequences and demanded Rs. five lakhs as ransom for constructing the house.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioners are innocent, and falsely implicated in this case. The present case is out come of a land dispute between close family members, and the injuries inflicted to the informant and other persons are simple in nature. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. Moreover, similarly

situated other co-accused persons have been granted regular bail by this court vide an order dated 19.1.2026 passed in B.A.No.22/2026. It is submitted that the petitioner No.1 Mukesh Kumar and petitioner No.2 Ganesh Kumar has one criminal antecedent, but other petitioners have clean antecedent.

5. The learned P.P.for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners and the injuries inflicted to the informant and other persons are simple in nature. Moreover, similarly situated other co-accused persons have already been granted bail by this court as stated aforesaid.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid facts, let the petitioners be released on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within four weeks from the date of the order on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down U/s.482(2) of the B.N.S.S. **with further conditions that one of the bailors of the petitioners must be their close relative, and petitioners shall remain present before the court below on each and every date, failing to appear on two consecutive dates without any cogent reason shall result in cancellation of their bail bonds.**

( Dictated )

( Sandip Singh )

**Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.**