

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

A.B.A.No.192/2026

(Arising out of Chandradip P.S.Case No.08/2026)

1. Mukesh Yadav @ Mukesh Kumar S/o Late Naresh Yadav aged about 40 years resident of village Telar, P.S. Chandradip, District Jamui.

..... **Petitioner**
Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

23.3.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Chandradip P.S.Case No.08/2026 registered for the offence U/s.126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 351(2),and 352 of the B.N.S.pending in the court of the A.C.J.M. 1st, Jamui.

2. Heard Sri C.Tanti,the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.for the State.

3. The prosecution case, in short,is that the informant Ravesh Kumar has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Chandradip Police Station alleging therein that on 26.1.2026 at 5 p.m. when he was grazing his bufallow near the canal of Telar village, then in the mean time, the petitioner came there and abused him and further asked as to why he has left his bufallow for grazing the crops at his field, but when the informant protested, then the petitioner struck on the head of the informant, consequently, the informant got injury on his head and blood started oozing out from there. Thereafter, the petitioner again struck by the same rod near his right eye causing injury to the informant, and thereafter, he again repeatedly assaulted on the person of the informant and also took out Rs.2000/-from his pocket. It is lastly alleged that the petitioner also threatened to the informant for dire consequences if any information would be given to the police. Thereafter, the informant was shifted to the hospital by the local people and after treatment he had filed the instant case against the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner has clean antecedent.

5. The learned P.P.for the State has opposed the prayer for

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,
ABA.No.192/2026 (Arising out of Chandradip P.S.Case No.08/2026) Mukesh Yadav @ Mukesh Kumar, Vrs.State of Bihar, Order dated 23.3.2026.

anticipatory bail and submitted that the nature of injury inflicted to the informant is grievous. Hence, this petitioner does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that there is specific allegation against the petitioner for repeatedly assaulting to the informant by iron rod and nature of injury is grievous according to the injury report.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid facts, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, and accordingly, his prayer of anticipatory bail is **rejected**.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.

COPY