

**In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,**

**A.B.A.No.129/2026**

(Arising out of Chandradip P.S.Case No.230/2025)

1. Raj Pandey @ Rajkumar Pandey S/o Ranjai Pandey aged about 23 years resident of village Solahpur, P.S.Chandradip, District Jamui.

..... **Petitioner**  
Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

12.3.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Chandradip P.S.Case No.230/2025 registered for the offence U/s.126(2),115(2), 117(2), 109, 303(2), 351(2), and 352 read with 3(5) of the B.N.S.pending in the court of the A.C.J.M.1st, Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Manager Pandey, the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P.for the State assisted by Sri Shyamdeo Singh, the learned counsel for the informant.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Parshuram Singh has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Chandradip Police Station alleging therein that due to previous dispute the petitioner used to threatened the informant and his family members for dire consequences. It is further alleged that on 25.12.2025 at 6.15 when son of the informant went for purchasing some house-hold articles, then in the mid way near Solahpur Chakai Gumti, the petitioner and other accused persons sitting in ambush caught hold the son of the informant and the petitioner has struck on his head by iron rod, and when he fell down, then he also assaulted on right thigh of the son of the informant, and consequently, his leg has been fractured, and thereafter, the petitioner has also snatched Rs.10,000/-from his pocket and other accused persons have also assaulted him by means of lathi, and iron rod and when daughter-in-law of the informant Priyanka Devi came there alongwith his two sons, then the accused persons abused and again threatened for dire consequences. It is lastly alleged that due to assistance of the co-villagers, the victim was firstly treated at Aliganj hospital, and thereafter, he was referred to P.M.C.H.Patna in serious condition.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the

petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner has clean antecedent. There is no any intention or attempt to commit murder of the son of the informant. Hence, section 109 of the B.N.S.is not made out against the petitioner. The petitioner has not filed any bail petition earlier before this court.

5. The learned P.P.for the State assisted by the learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that there is serious injury to the son of the informant which is mentioned in the injury report, though, the nature of injury is not mentioned in the case diary, but several persons during investigation have supported the allegation against the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and there is allegation against him for inflicting repeated assault on the son of the informant including his vital part of the body and his leg was got fractured due to assault and victim was referred to P.M.C.H.Patna for better treatment.

Hence, in view of the serious allegation against the petitioner, this court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, and accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory bail is **rejected**.

( Dictated )

( Sandip Singh )

**Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.**