

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

A.B.A.No.85/2026

(Arising out of Malaypur P.S.Case No.02/2026)

1. Nirmala Devi @ Niro Kumari W/o Dina Rawat aged about 25 years,
 2. Binu Rawat @ Binu Kumar S/o Satish Rawat aged about 23 years,
 3. Dinu Rawat @ Dinu Kumar Rawat S/o Satish Rawat aged about 32 years,
 4. Satish Rawat S/o Late Ganga Rawat @ Gano Rawat aged about 53 years
- All resident of village Phulwaria, P.S. Malaypur, District Jamui.

..... **Petitioners**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar..... O.P.

25.3.2026

1. This is an anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Malaypur P.S.Case No.02/2026 registered for the offence U/s.115(2), 113(2), 118(1), and 118(2) read with 3(5) of the B.N.S.pending in the court of the C.J.M., Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Mithilesh Kumar Singh, the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned P.P. for the State.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant Rina Devi has lodged the instant case by way of submitting an application before the SHO of Malaypur Police Station alleging therein that on 4.1.2026 at 4 p.m, all the petitioners came at the house of the informant having variously armed with iron pipe and started abusing and assaulting to the informant, and due to that, the informant got injury on her head. It is also alleged that the son of the informant was also assaulted by the accused persons. Accordingly, the instant F.I.R. was lodged.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that earlier no any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed either before this court or before the Hon'ble Court. It is further submitted that the injury inflicted to the informant side are simple in nature except one injury which is fracture of wrist, but the same is not on the vital part of the body. Moreover, the petitioner Nos.1,2, and 3 have clean antecedent but there is one case pending against petitioner No.4, in which, he is on bail. Moreover, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties as good sense prevailed between them.

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,
ABA.No.85/2026 (Arising out of Malaypur P.S.Case No.02/2026) *Nirmala Devi @ Niro Kumari & 3 others, Vrs.State of Bihar,*
Order dated 25.3.2026

5. The learned P.P.for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and further submitted that the informant appeared physically before this court and does not oppose the anticipatory bail of the petitioners and accepted the factum of compromise.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the particular fact that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, and the informant does not oppose the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners as good sense prevailed between the parties. Moreover, out of three injuries, all the injuries are simple in nature except one which is not on the vital part of the body.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid facts, let the petitioners be released on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within four weeks from the date of the order on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down U/s.482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.