

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE**  
**DISTRICT-GOPALGANJ**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2858 of 2025**

*(Arising out of Madhopur P.S. Case No. 25 of 2025, registered U/S 191(2), 190, 196, 298, 333, 324(4), 126(2), 115(2) and 352/3(5) of the B.N.S.)*

**In the matter of :-**

|                             |                                                                                                     |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name of the petitioners     | :1.) Birpratap Singh @ Birpratap Das, 2.)<br>Ranjan Singh, 3.) Sanjay Manjhi and 4.) Kandi<br>Devi. |
| F.I.R. U/S                  | : 191(2), 190, 196, 298, 333, 324(4), 126(2), 115(2)<br>and 352/3(5) of the B.N.S.                  |
| Charge-Sheet U/S            | : Not submitted                                                                                     |
| Counsel for the Petitioners | : Shri Abhay Kumar Singh, Id. Adv.                                                                  |
| Counsel for the State       | : Shri Deo Vansh Giri, Id. P.P.                                                                     |

**O r d e r.**

**09-06-2026**

1. This Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named accused-petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Madhopur P.S. Case No. 25 of 2025, registered U/S 191(2), 190, 196, 298, 333, 324(4), 126(2), 115(2) and 352/3(5) of the B.N.S. and Sec. 3(1) (r)(s) S.C./S.T. Act, is put up for hearing.

2. As per the F.I.R., the prosecution case in brief is that on the basis of written application of the informant, on the basis of written application of the informant Dinkar Kumar, who happens to the P.S.I. of Madhopur P.S., Madhopur P.S. Case No. 25 of 2025 has been lodged against the petitioner and others. It is inter alia alleged in the F.I.R. that on the alleged date and time of occurrence, on the basis of information, informant along with police arrived at Madhopur Math and saw that someone has broken the lock of temple and some women were sitting near the door of temple. On inquiry, women, who were sitting there, disclosed that one Sanjay Manjhi has broken the lock of the door of the temple and in support, petitioner along with other accused persons and 50 unknown persons armed with lathi-danda and various weapons were deteriorating the public harmony by forming unlawful assembly.

3. It is submitted on behalf of accused/petitioners that they are innocent and have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that petitioner no. 1 and 2 have got two criminal antecedents and petitioner no. 3 and 4 have got no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that petitioners do have legal title right of the Math which is also corroborated by the Civil Court, Gopalganj through T.S. No. 114/18 and T.A. No. 217 and from the F.I.R., no case U/S 196, 298 and 333 of the B.N.S. is made out against the petitioners. It is lastly submitted that there is no any whisper regarding cause naming abuse made by the petitioners or by any accused persons, so no case U/S S.C./S.T. Act is made out in this case. On such strength, prayer for bail has been made by the petitioners.

(2/2)

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2858 of 2025**

**(Contd./09-06-2026)**

4. Heard ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners as well as ld. P.P. for the State. Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the accused-petitioners.

5. Having heard both the parties and perused the case record. From the perusal of the F.I.R as well as case record, it transpires that in this case, during the course of investigation, charge-sheet was submitted against the co-accused Dharmendra Kumar Gupta @ Dharmendra Krantikari by deleting the Sec. 3(1)(r)(s) SC/ST Act. It further appears that although, petitioner is named in the F.I.R. but there is no specific allegation attributed against him rather the allegation is general and omnibus. Informant and witnesses at para-02, 06 and 07 of the case diary have repeated the version of the prosecution and all the witnesses are official witnesses and they have not alleged specific against the petitioner. From perusal of the record, it appears that main co-accused Dharmendra Kumar Gupta @ Dharmendra Krantikari has been granted bail in B.P. No. 1079/2025.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of this case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. It is accordingly ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the ld. Court-below within 30 days from the date of this order, the above named petitioners shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of ld. Court-below subject to conditions as laid down U/S 482(2) B.N.S.S. **subject to conditions that one of the bailors shall be close relative of the petitioners and one shall be local with further condition that petitioners will give an undertaking that they shall co-operate in the investigation/trial and they shall not temper with the witnesses or evidence.**

Let a copy of this order along with lower court record be sent to the ld. Lower court for information and needful.

**(Dictated)**

**(Geeta Gupta)**  
**Principal Sessions Judge, Gopalganj.**