

In the Court of District and Addl. Sessions Judge - I, Gopalganj**A.B.P.No. 2432/2025**

1.) Ranjita Devi

2.) Rekha Devi

:----- Petitioners

V r s

The State of Bihar

:-----Opposite party

Counsel for the petitioner

:-----Sri Raj Kishore Yadav, Advocate

Counsel for the O.P.

:-----Sri Deobansh Giri, P. P.

| <u>18-04-2026</u> | Order | Remarks |
|--------------------------|--|----------------|
| | <p>Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioners 1.) Ranjita Devi and 2.) Rekha Devi who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Uchkagaon P.S. Case no. 343/2025 U/s. 137(2), 87, 34 of IPC and 3(1)(d), 3(1)(dh) of SC/ST Act.</p> <p>The prosecution story in brief is that the minor daughter of the informant had gone to village shop to buy ration on 01.09.2025 around 4 pm. When she did not return for a long time, the informant started searching and found out that Prince Sah had abducted the informant's daughter by luring her with the promise of marriage and had taken away. She had taken away ancestral gold and silver jewellery worth of Rs. 3 lacs from the informant's house. When the informant along with villager went to the house of Kisan Sah who is father of Prince Sah to inquired about his daughter, he initially denied it, but later assured that he will call both of them. On 02.09.2025 at 6 am when the informant and his wife again went to Kisan Sah's house, he started verbal abuse and casteist remark on the informant. Hence, the present FIR.</p> <p>Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners argued that the petitioners are innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. It has been further submitted that there is land dispute between the parties and there is case and counter case between them. Learned counsel further argued that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent. With these arguments learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.</p> <p>Ld. P.P. for the State opposed the bail prayer of the petitioners.</p> <p>Having heard the ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the case diary. As per FIR, it is allegation that Prince Sah had abducted the informant's minor daughter by luring her with the promise of marriage and had taken away. The specific allegation is attributed to</p> | |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| <p><u>contd.</u> <u>18-04-2026</u></p> | <p>only co-accused Prince Sah, there is no any specific allegation or role assigned to the petitioners except manhandling the informant. The petitioners is not alleged with any overt act.</p> <p>Therefore, it is a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners are allowed. Let the petitioners in the event of arrest or surrender within four weeks of the instant order before the Court below be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of equal amount with the conditions that (i) the petitioner shall cooperate in the Trial Court proceedings. Accordingly, this bail petition is hereby allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Dictated) (Sanjeev Kumar Singh) A.S.J.-I</p> | |
|--|---|--|