

District – Gopalganj (Bihar)
In the Court of the Principal District and Sessions Judge, Gopalganj

Criminal Revision No. 1259 of 2025

Vijay Rai & 2 others **Revisionists.**

Vs.

1. State of Bihar

2. Ramagaya Rai & 3 others **Opposite Party.**

For the Revisionists : Shri Lallan Prasad Yadav, ld. Adv.

For the State : Shri Dilip Kumar, Ld. Adv.

20-04-2026

Today, no any attendance has been filed on behalf of the revisionist. On calls no one is turned up on behalf of the revisionist to press this Cri. Revision but O.P. No. 2 was present before the court.

It appears that, this Cri. Rev. petition has been filed on behalf of revisionist/O.P.1 against order dated 29.08.2025 passed by ld. S.D.M., Gopalganj in M. Case No. 2008/2025 proceeding U/S 163 B.N.S.S. (corresponding section u/s 144 Cr.P.C.) Ramagya Rai versus Vijay Rai whereby the ld. Trial court issued show-cause to the parties.

Revisionist is absent since long and today, no one is present on behalf of the revisionist.

Heard ld. A.P.P. and Perused the case record.

From the perusal of the case record as well as material available on record. It transpires that on the basis of Police report regarding conflict due to land dispute between both the parties, ld. Trial court issued show-cause to abstain from certain act.

As per Section 163(4) B.N.S.S.- No order under this section shall remain in force for more than two months from the making thereof.

Impunged order itself shows that the order passed by the trial court is interim order moreover maximum statutory period of this provision has already been expired as the impunged order has been passed on 29.08.2025 and impugned order has no effect at present. Moreover, proceeding under Section 163 B.N.S.S. is preventive in nature. Moreover, on several dates alongwith today, revisionist was absent and Revisionist had been given direction to do proper pairavi and Today also, on calls revisionist is absent, which shows that the petitioner has lost his interest in this Cri. revision.

Hence, considering the fact and circumstances as discussed above, this revision petition is hereby dismissed as infructuous and interim.

Office is directed that the revisional file should be consigned to the record room after necessary formalities.

Dictated

9.20.4.26
(Geeta Gupta)

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Gopalganj
20.04.2026**