

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1349/2026
(Arising out of Complaint Case No. 627/2025)
U/s 85 BNS & 4 D.P. Act.
CNR:-BRGO010072732026



Page-1

In the matter of:

- 1. Manoj Rai**
- 2. Amresh Rai**
- 3. Pushpa Devi**

.....Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....Respondent.

Appearance:

For the Petitioner: Sri Abhishek Kumar Rai, Ld. Advocate.

For the State: Sri Ramesh Kumar Singh, Ld. A.P.P.

ORDER

Sl No .	Date of Order or Proceeding	Order with Signature of the Court	Office action taken with Date
1	2	3	4
	<u>17.06.2026</u>	1. This application for anticipatory bail is filed on behalf of the above named petitioners who apprehends their arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 627/2025 for the offence punishable u/s 85 BNS & 4 D.P. Act. 2. Petitioners have given certificate in para 2 of the bail application that earlier no application for anticipatory or regular bail has been filed before any of the Court or any Court superior to this Court before the present petition. It has been mentioned in Para-03 of the bail petition that there is no criminal history against these petitioners. 3. Per contra, Ld. Addl.P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer of bail and submitted that the alleged offences is U/s 85 BNS which is non-bailable in nature. Therefore, the above named petitioners does not deserve to be enlarged on anticipatory bail. 4. As per the factual matrix of the case, the prosecution story in brief is that the marriage of the complainant was solemnized with the accused petitioner Manoj Rai on 23.04.2017 according to hindu rites and rituals. She went to her matrimonial home on 27.04.2017. It was further alleged by the complainant that during the time of her marriage her mother and brother gave Rs. 10 lakh cash, jewellery, cloth, utensils, furniture, almiraha, freeze, cooler,	Cont.....

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1349/2026
(Arising out of Complaint Case No. 627/2025)
U/s 85 BNS & 4 D.P. Act.
CNR:-BRGO010072732026



Page-2

17.06.2026 Contd.,P/1	washing machine total worth of Rs. 3 lakh. It was further alleged by the complainant that her in-laws started demanding a four wheeler vehicle and they warned her till now their demand not fulfilled they are not ready for the marriage but after persuasion of the family members they are ready for the marriage. It was further alleged by the complainant that she lived happily in her matrimonial home for some days but after some time her in-laws started doing cruelty against her and taunting her for the said demand. Complainant's mother went their home and try to settle the dispute and after her return then again stated doing cruelty against her. It was further alleged by the complainant that she was beaten by her in-laws and ousted her from her matrimonial home on 06.12.2017 and due to said incident panchayati took place but her in-laws repeatedly said that complainant will stay at your home until she has a baby, after that they will take care of her. It was further alleged by the complainant that they again started doing cruelty against her and threatened her for four wheeler car. It was further alleged by the complainant that on 10.03.2025 her in-laws started beating her and ousted her from her matrimonial home and keep all her belongings and threatened her that they solemnized second marriage of her son. 5. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence and he have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the accused person is not having any criminal antecedents. It is further submitted that the prosecution story is totally false, fabricated and concocted. Hence, the petitioner deserves anticipatory bail. 6. On the other hand, the Ld. A.P.P opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. 7. Heard both the sides and perused the entire case record, from the perusal of the same, it is found that the the present case was instituted against these petitioners for offences under Section 85 BNS & 4 D.P. Act which is non-bailable in nature. There is an specific allegation of subjecting to cruelty against these petitioners. This matter was sent to District Mediation Centre, Gopalganj for reconciliation but unfortunately mediation failed and since both the parties did not come to a solution regarding the dispute between them. It is also pertinent to	Cont.....
--	---	-------------------------

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1349/2026
(Arising out of Complaint Case No. 627/2025)
U/s 85 BNS & 4 D.P. Act.
CNR:-BRGO010072732026



Page-3

17.06.2026 Contd.,P/2	mention here that Petitioner No. 1 Manoj Rai who is husband of the complainant and he specifically admitted that he solemnized marriage with another lady without divorce to complainant here which is matter of serious concern. As far as other two petitioners are concerned, they also appeared to be participes crimnis of alleged offence with Petitioner No. 1 since both are father-in-law and mother-in-law of the complainant. Petitioner Manoj Rai is husband of the complainant, therefore, he is duty bound to take care of his wife and children but not caring. 8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected. Petitioner is directed to surrender before the Ld. Trial Court in four weeks and seek regular bail. Ld. Trial Court is directed to pass an order in accordance with law without being prejudiced by this order. Let a copy of this order order be sent to the Ld. Trial Court for information and needful. Dictated Sd/- (RAKESH RANJAN SINGH) Additional Sessions Judge IX Gopalganj.
--	--

Date of Judgement/Order	17.06.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	17.06.2026
Uploading date	19.06.2026
Uploaded by	Omkesk Kumar