

In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Gopalganj

B.P. No. 548/2026

1- Rohit Ram@ Rohit Kumar@ Rohit Kumar Ram :-----Petitioner

V r s

The State of Bihar :----- Opposite party

Counsel for the petitioner :----- Sri Anmol Raj, Advocate

Counsel for the Opposite party :----- Sri Deobansh Giri, P.P.

13.07.2026	Order	Remarks
	Bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely Rohit Ram@ Rohit Kumar@ Rohit Kumar Ram, who is in judicial custody since 04-05-2026 in connection with Bhore P.S. Case No. 224/2026, registered U/s 103(1) of B.N.S. and 27 of Arms Act is put up for hearing. The above case was registered on the written application of Dinanath Mishra is that on 24.04.2026 at about 3.30 in the evening, while he and his family members were sitting at their residence, three persons arrived on a motorcycle. His brother Bablu Kumar Mishra@ Double Mishra, came out of the house and was allegedly shot by two persons riding pillion on the motorcycle, who then fled from the spot. With the help of villagers, one accused namely Shatrudhan Ram, was apprehended, while he disclosed the names of the other two assailants as Rohit Ram and Rajan. The local police were informed and took the apprehended accused into custody. The injured was taken to the hospital, where he was declared dead by the attending doctors. It was furhter alleged that the informant's family has been living under fear since the occurrence. Hence, the present F.I.R. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner argued that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel further argued that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Learned Counsel further argued that the prosecution story is totally false, fabricated and concocted. With these arguments learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for grant	

<u>Contd.</u> <u>13.07.2026</u>	of regular bail to the petitioner. Ld. P.P. for the State opposed the bail prayer of the petitioners. Heard both the parties and perused the Trial Court record. The petitioner is specifically alleged to have played an active role in the commission of the murder. According to paragraph 14 of the case diary, the co-accused, Shatrudhan Ram, who was apprehended at the spot, stated in his confessional statement that the petitioner was actively involved in the murder as well as the loot, and that the petitioner used his firearm to spread fear among the public, during which the deceased sustained the fatal gunshot injury. As recorded in paragraph 15 of the case diary, one firearm, two live cartridges, and one Splendor motorcycle were recovered from the place of occurrence. Paragraphs 24, 25, and 26 of the case diary indicate that the witnesses have fully supported the prosecution case. Further, as noted in paragraph 103 of the case diary, the petitioner, in his confessional statement, admitted his active involvement in the alleged offence. Paragraph 129 of the case diary records that the post-mortem report attributes the cause of death to hemorrhage and shock resulting from a firearm injury. Moreover, the investigation is still in progress, and considering the nature and gravity of the allegations, the offence is of a serious nature. Keeping in view the seriousness of the offence, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner on regular bail. Accordingly, regular bail petition of the petitioner is hereby rejected with a condition that the petitioner may renew his prayer after framing of charge. (Dictated) (Sanjeev Kumar Singh) A.S.J-I
--	--