

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE
DISTRICT-GOPALGANJ

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1293 of 2026

*(Arising out of Kateya P.S. Case No. 244 of 2026, registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 117(2),
118(1), 109(1), 303(2), 352 and 351(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S.)*

In the matter of :-

Name of the petitioners : 1.) Ravindra Yadav, 2.) Harendra Yadav and
3.) Saroj @ Saroj Kumar Sharma.
F.I.R. U/S : 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 303(2), 352
and 351(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S.
Charge-Sheet U/S : Not submitted
Counsel for the Petitioners : Shri Bhuvneshwar Rai, Id. Adv.
Counsel for the State : Shri Deo Vansh Giri, Id. P.P.

O r d e r.

09-06-2026

1. This Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named accused-petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Kateya P.S. Case No. 244 of 2026, registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 303(2), 352 and 351(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S., is put up for hearing.

2. As per the F.I.R., the prosecution case in brief is that on the basis of written application of the informant, Kateya P.S. Case No. 244 of 2026 has been lodged against the petitioners. It is inter alia alleged in the F.I.R. that informant, who runs a tent in the name Dipanshu Tent Houuse. On dated 27-04-2026 at about 08:00 pm, owing to the dispute of playing son, altercation took place between the parties and all the accused-petitioners surrounded the informant and started abusing. When informant made protest, petitioner Ravindra Yadav stated to kill him, over which petitioner Harendra Yadav and Saroj started assaulted the informant with fists and slaps. Meanwhile, Ravindra Yadav inflicted lathi blow on the head of the informant with intention to kill him and he sustained bleeding injury on his head. It is alleged that Harendra Yadav and Saroj slammed the informant down and put their legs on his chest and assaulted him. It is alleged that petitioner Ravindra Yadav took gold-chain from the informant. It is alleged that petitioner Harendra Yadav took out Rs. 10,000/- from the pocket of the informant. It is further alleged that owing to the previous dispute of outstanding money of tent house, which was organized by the petitioner Ravindra Yadav, petitioner Ravindra Yadav, threat was given that he will not paid the outstanding money of tent.

3. It is submitted on behalf of accused/petitioners that they are innocent and have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this due to village politics. It is further submitted that petitioner no. 1 and 2 have got no criminal antecedent and petitioner no. 3 has got one criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that there is no specific allegation leveled against the petitioners. It is lastly submitted that the prosecution story is totally false,

(2/2)

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1293 of 2026

(Contd./09-06-2026)

fabricated and concocted one. On such strength, prayer for bail has been made by the petitioners.

4. Heard ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners as well as ld. P.P. for the State. ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the accused-petitioners. During the course of hearing, informant appeared before the court along with his ld. Counsel and supported the fact of compromise between the parties.

5. Having heard both the parties and perused the case record. From the perusal of the F.I.R. as well as case record, it transpires that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. against whom there is allegation that on the order of petitioner Ravindra Yadav, petitioner Harendra Yadav and Saroj assaulted the informant with fists and slaps and petitioner Ravindra Yadav inflicted lathi blow on the head of the informant and he sustained bleeding injury on his head and petitioner Harendra Yadav and Saroj slammed the informant down and assaulted him and during this course, petitioner Ravindra Yadav has alleged snatched gold-chain from the informant and Harendra Yadav has allegedly taken out Rs. 10,000/- from the pocket of the informant. It further appears that incident took place between the parties owing to the dispute of outstanding money of tent house of the informant. The case has been compromised between the parties and compromise petition is filed. During the course of hearing, informant appeared along with his ld. Counsel and has submitted that both the parties have compromised this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of this case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. It is accordingly ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the ld. Court-below within 30 days from the date of this order, the above named petitioners shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of ld. Court-below subject to conditions as laid down U/S 482(2) B.N.S.S. **subject to conditions that one of the bailors shall be close relative of the petitioners and one shall be local with further condition that petitioners will give an undertaking that they shall co-operate in the investigation/trial and they shall not temper with the witnesses or evidence.**

Let a copy of this order be sent to the ld. Lower court for information and needful.

(Dictated)

(Geeta Gupta)
Principal Sessions Judge, Gopalganj.