

In the Court of District and Addl. Sessions Judge - I, Gopalganj**A.B.P.No. 1183/2026**

1.) Md. Hussain Miyan :----- Petitioner


V r s

The State of Bihar :-----Opposite party

Counsel for the petitioner :-----Sri Madan Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Counsel for the O.P. :-----Sri Deobansh Giri, P. P.

<u>19-05-2026</u>	Order	Remarks
	Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioners 1.) Md. Hussain Miyan who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Bhorey, P.S. Case No. 198/2009, registered U/s 147, 148, 149, 325, 324, 307, 333, 353, 427, 452, 283, 379, 153/34 of the I.P.C. As per F.I.R. prosecution story in brief is that B.D.O. cum C.O. Bhorey Akhilesh Prasad Verma lodged F.I.R. presenting written petition stating therein that on the alleged day and time of the occurrence 300-350 workers of APAWA and MALEY were sitting on Dharna for certain demand and raising slogans against the administrations. At about 3.00 p.m. they became violent and ladies protesters were at front armed with Lathi, Chaila, Farsa and Hasuwa etc.. An attempt was made to stop them but they entered in the office and started assaulting the informant by means of Lathi. They also assaulted to the police party. Any how the informant was taken out side from the office and thereafter the protesters damaged the articles kept in the office and took away battery, inverter from the resident of the informant. Hence, the FIR. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner argued that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel further argued that the petitioner has two criminal antecedent. With these arguments learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Ld. P.P. for the State opposed the bail prayer of the petitioners. Having heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the case diary. It appears that the present case arises out of an incident of crowd violence. The specific allegations of assault and overt acts have been attributed only against accused persons, namely, Reena Sharma, Shohela Gupta and Ramawati. The name of the remaining accused persons, including the present petitioner, surfaced subsequently on the basis of the information received from others. So far as the present petitioner is concerned, no specific allegation has been made against him and no overt act or particular role has been assigned to him in the alleged occurrence. It further appears that in a similar circumstance, co-accused Anand Ram has already been granted bail. Therefore, it is a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner is allowed. Let the	

	petitioner in the event of arrest or surrender within four weeks of the instant order before the Court below be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of equal amount with the condition that (i) the petitioner shall cooperate in the Trial Court proceedings. (Dictated)  (Sanjeev Kumar Singh) A.S.J.-I	
--	---	--