

D.B.Singh, Principal Judge,  
Family Court, Gopalganj  
Maintenance Case No. - 147/2021  
Neetu Sharma & Others Vs. Brahma Sharma  
Date of Order - 01-11-2025

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, GOPALGANJ.**

**Maintenance Case No. 147 of 2021**

**Neetu Sharma & Others.....Applicant**  
**Vrs.**  
**Brahma Sharma..... Respondent/O.P.**

**Ld. Counsel for the applicant : Sri Uday Bhanu**  
**Ld. Counsel for the O.P. : Sri Jitendra Dixit**

**Present :-**

**Dhirendra Bahadur Singh.**  
**Principal Judge, Family Court.**  
**Gopalganj.**

**Date of order - 01-11-2025**

**Order**

**01-11-2025**

1. Rejoinder has been filed on behalf of O.P. to the petition dated 09.10.2025 on behalf of applicant.
2. Heard both sides.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that a certificate has been filed on behalf of applicant in the present case and the same has been issued by Gram Pradhan Menna Devi of Gram Sabha Ahirauli Dan Nyay Panchayati Mathia Sriram, Block Sevarahi, District- Kushinagar (U.P.). He further submitted that it is essential to examine witness Sandeep Sharma with a view to exhibit the certificate issued by Gram Pradhan Meena Devi. Hence prayed to allow the petition and to permit examination of witness Sandeep Sharma on behalf of applicant.
4. On the other hand learned counsel for the O.P. strongly opposed the submission and submitted that the present maintenance case has been filed in the year 2021 and case has been pending for applicant's evidence for about two years. He also submitted that earlier on the prayer of applicant Dasti summons have been issued to Gram Pradhan

**D.B.Singh, Principal Judge,  
Family Court, Gopalganj  
Maintenance Case No. - 147/2021  
Neetu Sharma & Others Vs. Brahma Sharma  
Date of Order - 01-11-2025**

Meena Devi and that prayer has already been allowed. But the applicant failed to produce Meena Devi who is alive. There is no explanation as to why Sandeep Sharma should be permitted to exhibit the certificate issued by Meena Devi. Hence prayed to dismiss the petition.

5. Having heard the submission of the parties and from perusal of case record, it appears that earlier Dasti summons have been issued at the prayer of applicant but the witness has not been produced by her. There is no explanation in the petition dated 09.10.2025 as to why the witness Sandeep Sharma should be allowed to be examined to prove the certificate issued by Gram Pradhan Meena Devi. It appears that sufficient opportunity has been given to the applicant for producing oral and documentary evidences. Hence it is not proper to provide further opportunity to her. Hence the petition dated 09.10.2025 is hereby dismissed and the applicant evidence is hereby closed. Put up this case on 27.11.2025 for O.P. evidence.

**Dictated**

**P.J.**